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The Society Launches an International Exchange Program

As I begin my presidential term, I would like to share some of my plans for the coming year. One of my first priorities is to build on the initiatives launched during Janet Hall’s presidency. Many of her initiatives, such as the focus on health disparities and international outreach, will no doubt become part of The Endocrine Society’s core activities. I will also be launching a new initiative this year: The Endocrine Society Ambassador Exchange Program.

During Dr. Hall’s presidency, two task forces were established: the Basic Science Task Force and the ENDO Task Force. The Basic Science Task Force was created to address the challenges facing our basic science members. The ENDO Task Force was charged to review The Endocrine Society’s Annual Meeting from the perspective of all the constituencies (basic science, clinical science, clinician in practice, trainee, and international) and that of Strategic Plan 3 and to create new venues for evaluative feedback. I will ensure that the recommendations resulting from these two task forces are reviewed and considered for implementation by the relevant Society committees or groups.

The Endocrine Society Ambassador Exchange Program

The Ambassador Exchange Program will begin this year as a pilot, with hopes that eventually it will develop into a sustainable program. The concept is a giving-back initiative in which an endocrinologist and a trainee from an established U.S. center visit an international center where resources are limited and indigent populations are served. In turn, an endocrinologist and a trainee from the host international center then visit the U.S. center to complete the exchange. This exchange will prove to be an invaluable opportunity for trainees and their mentors to observe how national, ethnic, economic, and cultural factors shape endocrine care. Throughout my professional career, I have been fortunate to participate in such exchanges, which I consider life-changing experiences.

This type of outreach program will position the Society to lead a unique international collaboration and, in the process, to give back to the world endocrine community. The Society’s Strategic Plan 3 emphasizes its commitment to “the goal of improved human health worldwide.” Although many of our current activities touch on different aspects of this theme, none specifically embodies a “giving-back” initiative. Therefore, I believe the time is right to mobilize and connect our members through a Society-based international agenda. The ambassador exchange program has three specific objectives:

- Establish international networks of medical centers as vehicles for sharing best practices.
- Increase the cultural competency of the current and future endocrine workforce.
- Improve the health of underserved populations through clinician and patient education appropriate to local needs and cultures.

Earlier this year, a working group was established to develop a business plan, which The Endocrine Society Council subsequently approved. This working group and Society staff will coordinate all the logistic and programmatic details to ensure these exchanges are a success. The two international centers that will participate in the pilot program are King Edward Memorial Hospital in Mumbai, India, and Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital in Soweto, South Africa.

Document Exchanges with Magazine and Online Stories

Because only a limited number of members will be able to participate in the program, the participants’ experiences will be shared with all Society members via our diverse communication vehicles. Some examples include documenting each exchange with photographs at both centers in an article in Endocrine News, dedicating a page to the program on the Society’s Web site, publishing a perspective article coauthored by each exchange team in one of the Society’s journals, and presenting a special Meet-the-Professor session and Trainee Day session at ENDO.

I will continue to communicate the progress on this initiative throughout my presidential year. If you have any questions or comments, feel free to contact me at president@endo-society.org. I look forward to a productive and successful year for The Endocrine Society.

Sincerely,

William F. Young, Jr., M.D.
President, The Endocrine Society
Dear Readers,

The 1996 discovery of kisspeptin in the human brain added significantly to understanding how children turn into adults. For this issue, we invited three medical experts to give their perspectives on how kisspeptin functions and what promise it holds for developing treatments for pubertal and reproductive disorders (page 14). Kisspeptin owes its whimsical name to the popular chocolate candy, Hershey’s Kisses—the hormone was first identified by researchers in Hershey, Pennsylvania, headquarters of the candymaker.

History is replete with rumors of a legendary spring with restorative powers that can keep us young forever. Today’s scientists, however, postulate that the key to anti-aging and longevity lies within the human body, most likely in the endocrine system. Science writer Margie Patlak shares the latest research findings on hormones and aging (page 20).

Continuing with the idea of controlling our own medical destinies, frequent contributor Melissa Mapes writes about birth control, in particular intrauterine devices (IUDs), which were much maligned 40 years ago. A new study shows that IUDs and implants are vastly more effective than “the Pill” as long-term reversible contraceptives (page 30).

Associate editor Jacqueline Ruttimann salutes Nobel Prize-winning endocrinologists in Back Story. We learn not only of the landmark research that won them the prestigious award but also of struggles some endured to pursue their careers (page 44).

Sincerely,
Marian Smith Holmes
Managing Editor
Endocrine News
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The following articles are housed online only. See Endocrine News Online to read them and find related links (www.endo-society.org/endo_news).

Anti-obesity Drug
What’s the skinny on Belviq, the first prescription diet pill to win FDA approval in more than a decade?

Art and Wellness
Drawings by Cushing’s patients help health-care providers understand the psychological impact of long-term remission.

Is a Calorie Just a Calorie?
A new study finds that a low-carb diet burns more calories and helps dieters keep the weight off.
Japanese scientists have taken another step toward organ regeneration through stem cell bioengineering: successfully implanting reconstituted hair follicles into the skin of nude mice.

Last year, this same team, led by Takashi Tsuji, Ph.D., of the Tokyo University of Science, described a similar technique to transplant a bioengineered tooth unit, which included mature tooth, periodontal ligament, and bone, into the upper jawbone of a mouse.

In the new study, the researchers applied their bioengineering skills to three different types of hair. They reconstituted pelage follicle germ cells, dark and coarse hair stem cells, using mouse embryonic skin epithelial cells and mesenchymal cells. They also regenerated vibrissa follicle germs, light and fine hair stem cells, using adult mice stem cells from bulge region epithelial cells and dermal papilla cells. Harvesting small pieces of occipital scalp from human male donors, researchers repeated the method to engineer new hair follicles using intact dermal papilla cells and bulge-region epithelial cells. The researchers rearranged the follicular cells and stem cells into their niches, grew them in culture, and then implanted them on hairless mice.

The implanted hair had the correct structures of natural hair follicles and shafts, and formed normal connections with surrounding host tissues such as the epidermis. For example, when the researchers injected the neurotransmitter acetylcholine, the hairs stood up—this piloerection ability indicated that the follicles had made functional connections to appropriate muscles and nerve fibers. The researchers even demonstrated an ability to choose cells that affected hair pigmentation.

As the researchers’ methods to regenerate ectodermal glands such as teeth and hair continue to progress, they say in their report in Nature Communications* that transplantation of these bioengineered follicle germs offers a path for hair loss treatment.


Cognitive decline is common among aging men and women, but a new study investigated whether loss of cognitive function in women can be linked to the hormonal changes of menopause.

Researchers from the University of Michigan, led by Alison Berent-Spillson, Ph.D., studied 67 women, ages 42–61 years, who were part of an ongoing menopause study. The women were grouped according to three hormonal stages: premenopause, perimenopause, and postmenopause. They were given neuropsychological assessments of their cognitive function based on visual memory tests and on their ability to process abstract and concrete meaning of words. Functional magnetic resonance imaging was used to observe the neural activation patterns during the tasks.

Menopause status affected the women’s verbal fluency independent of age. Declines in verbal cognitive functioning were observed in the women during menopause transition. In their article soon to be published in The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, * the researchers conclude that their results suggest verbal functioning was affected by hormonal differences both on a behavioral and neuroanatomical level.

The researchers suggested that with the awareness that verbal fluency is vulnerable during this transitioning time of life, pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions may be targeted to preserve function of this critical cognitive domain.

➤ Salty, sweet, sour, bitter, and umami. Can we add fat to this well-known list of tastes? A new study published in the Journal of Lipid Research* suggests a sixth basic taste component for obese subjects in detection of dietary fat.

Traditionally dietary fat is thought to activate the somatosensory and olfactory systems exclusively on aromatic and textual cues. Recent research at the Washington University School of Medicine, however, finds detection of triolein and oleic acid to be higher for subjects with high expression levels of the gene CD36, commonly accepted as a lipid taste receptor. Sensitivity to triolein decreases with reductions in fatty acid release through the addition of orlistat, a tasteless lipase inhibitor and popular weight loss pill. Detection of oleic acid goes unchanged, suggesting that taste, in addition to texture, contributes to dietary fat detection.

This is especially significant for the obese. Aside from a diet high in fat content, low sensitivity to oleic acid associates with higher body mass index (BMI). Whether fat taste receptors are altered by increased BMI is unknown. Obesity may cause low oral sensitivity to fat or vice versa. Other studies show sensitivity increasing after four weeks of a low-fat diet.

In measuring triacylglycerols and fatty acids together, the new study makes further understanding of the body's ability to directly taste fat. Future studies of lipase inhibition and genetic variance of lipid taste reception may provide inroads to improving dietary therapy for obesity. ■

Dan Kelly


---

Resveratrol’s Role in Treating PCOS

➤ The beneficial effects of red wine, grape juice, and peanuts might extend to ameliorating the excess androgen production in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS).

Resveratrol is a naturally occurring polyphenol found in these foods and some medicinal plants. It has anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, cardioprotective, and neuroprotective effects—and studies in rats have shown it can inhibit steroidogenesis. Researchers led by Antoni J. Duleba, M.D., of the University of California, Davis, explored whether this latter effect could be useful in women with the androgen-overproducing disorder, PCOS.

Resveratrol is a naturally occurring polyphenol found in these foods and some medicinal plants. It has anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, cardioprotective, and neuroprotective effects—and studies in rats have shown it can inhibit steroidogenesis. Researchers led by Antoni J. Duleba, M.D., of the University of California, Davis, explored whether this latter effect could be useful in women with the androgen-overproducing disorder, PCOS.

Resveratrol can activate sirtuins, a family of deacetylases involved in cellular processes such as genomic stability and DNA repair. This activation has been shown to extend lifespan in mice. To test whether sirtuins were involved in the steroid effects, the researchers added sirtuin inhibitors to the culture, which did not reverse the resveratrol-induced inhibition of steroidogenesis.

In an article accepted for publication in Endocrinology,* the researchers say this study is the first they know of for evaluating the role of resveratrol on theca-interstitial cell steroidogenesis. Their results could be of clinical relevance for treating conditions associated with excessive production of androgens by theca cells such as PCOS. ■

Eric Seaborg

Studies show that a high-fiber diet helps people maintain a healthy body weight, suggesting a possible role in the prevention and control of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Specifically, researchers wanted to test the efficacy of an insoluble dietary fiber derived from maize, high-amylose maize-resistant starch 2 (HAM-R2), which has been shown to increase insulin sensitivity and reduce adipose tissue.

Scientists led by Denise Robertson, Ph.D., at the University of Surrey, Guilford, United Kingdom, investigated just how HAM-R2 affects metabolism and precisely where.

Fifteen obese, insulin-resistant men and women consumed a daily diet with powdered HAM-R2 supplements (Hi-Maize) or control (Amioca starch) for eight weeks. Researchers then measured glucose levels, differentiating between hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity. They also biopsied adipose tissue from the participants’ buttocks to quantify gene expression there. In their paper, to be published soon in *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, the researchers report a 65 percent increase in postprandial glucose uptake and 10 percent less fasting insulin resistance among the HAM-R2 group, which also demonstrated increased expression of hormone-sensitive lipase and lipoprotein lipase mRNA. Expression of these enzymes, in turn, indicates increased adipose tissue differentiation.

The researchers conclude that HAM-RS2 intake increased peripheral, but not hepatic, insulin sensitivity. These findings represent a big step toward an intervention for obese patients at risk of developing T2DM, they reported. ♦

Kelly Horvath

---

How Dietary Fiber Fights Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Surgery can have a disorienting effect on patients. The altered perception of time that often follows anesthesia has been described as post-operative jet lag by researchers at the University of Auckland in New Zealand. Using honeybees as subjects, the researchers studied the effects of anesthesia. Dosing honeybees with the common anesthetic, isoflurane, the research team saw marked significant delays in timed behavior and circadian rhythms.

Bees keep to an obsessively controlled schedule with highly timed field behaviors. Their circadian clocks are molecularly similar to mammals, oscillating through mRNA and protein levels to regulate a 24-hour rhythm. A six-hour administration of isoflurane inhalant during the bees’ subjective day, as published in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, produced a four- to five-hour delay in field behavior and clock gene expression.

Night dosing had little to no effect, and the reason may be found in the mRNA oscillations during this time. Isoflurane is thought to increase mRNA expression, causing a time shift forward when levels are rising and a delay when levels are declining. When levels hold steady at their highest point, as at night, isoflurane and similar anesthetics cause no shift.

Isoflurane is known to increase GABA receptor, which forms much of the brain’s daily rhythm. Manipulation of the GABA receptors modifies circadian clock responses to light. Although isoflurane had no effect at night, a night-time light pulse causes a circadian phase delay. Administered during the day, light causes a phase advance.

Administration of light and isoflurane together may be an important next step possibly making post-op jet lag treatable during anesthesia, the researchers suggested. ♦

Dan Kelly

---

Thyroid Hormone Finds Alternative Paths into Growth Cells

➤ Like commuters finding their way around a closed road, thyroid hormones can sometimes find alternative routes for getting to work.

Patients with the low hormone levels of congenital hypothyroidism suffer from short stature, but those with problems related to thyroid hormone transport may not. Thyroid hormone must find its way into a cell via transporter proteins to exert its actions on the nucleus, a process that is disrupted in conditions such as Allan-Herndon-Dudley syndrome (AHDS), which features mutations that interfere with the workings of a main thyroid hormone transporter, monocarboxylate transporter 8 (MCT8). AHDS patients grow to a fairly normal size but have severe neurological symptoms, including mental and motor retardation.

AHDS patients have high T3 levels, indicating that the thyroid is producing hormone that is not always getting where it needs to be. Researchers led by Noriyuki Namba, M.D., Ph.D., of Osaka University in Japan, hypothesized that AHDS patients grow because the thyroid hormone gains access to growth plate cartilage cells via transporters other than MCT8.

When the scientists analyzed thyroid hormone transporter mRNA expression in mouse chondrogenic ATDC5 cells, they found that monocarboxylate transporter 10 (MCT10) was abundantly expressed. MCT10 mRNA was also expressed abundantly in the growth plate resting zone chondrocytes.

When the researchers silenced MCT10 mRNA expression in ATDC5 cells, they detected a significant decrease in T3 influx and reduced effects of the hormone. The results demonstrate that MCT10 serves as a thyroid hormone transporter into these cells.

In an article slated for publication in *Endocrinology,* the researchers say that the role of MCT10 in bone growth warrants further investigation for potential application in addressing unresolved causes of short stature and skeletal dysplasias.

Eric Seaborg

Regulating the Human Birth Clock

➤ The placenta is thought to be the site of the hormonal clock that coordinates the complex gestational interplay of biologic processes between mother and fetus, resulting in the timing of parturition. Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) of placental origin is one such substance that steadily increases during gestation, culminating with delivery. Based on the demonstration of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) in term cytotrophoblastic nuclei coupled with NF-κB’s known roles in cell survival, differentiation, and proliferation, a new study investigated NF-κB as the possible positive placental CRH regulator.

Scientists led by Bing-bing Wang, M.D., Ph.D., at the UMDNJ-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, New Jersey, performed chromatin immunoprecipitation assays, among other tests, in primary cytotrophoblasts to determine whether the RelB/NF-κB2 complex, the alternate NF-κB pathway, is activated in the placenta at term. In their paper, to be published soon in *Molecular Endocrinology,* the researchers report that RelB/NF-κB2 binds to a newly discovered NF-κB enhancer of CRH gene promoter to stimulate CRH transcription, thereby positively regulating CRH expression.

The researchers conclude that the non-canonical NF-κB pathway is necessary for CRH expression. Moreover, impairment in placental CRH regulation likely correlates with incongruous gestational duration and leads to preterm labor and birth, they said.

Kelly Horvath
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Kelly Horvath

Zebrafish, a Big Catch for Vitamin D Studies

➤ The tiny zebrafish (Danio rerio), a popular organism for scientific experiments because of its transparency and rapidly progressive embryonic state, is helping researchers unlock the role of the hormonal form of vitamin D, 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin3 D (1α,25(OH)2D3), during early development.

Based on previous findings that 1α,25(OH)2D3 regulates hundreds of genes in vitro and that zebrafish abundantly begin to express the vitamin D receptor just 48 hours post-fertilization, scientists led by Rajiv Kumar, M.D., at the Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, investigated vitamin D’s effects on gene expression patterns and metabolic pathways in vivo. After administering 1α,25(OH)2D3 in ethanol or ethanol only for control, to the medium of groups of 25–30 zebrafish larvae 24 hours after fertilization, the scientists shotgun-sequenced these larvae’s whole transcriptomes. In their paper, to be published soon in Molecular Endocrinology,* the researchers report altered expression in more than 2,000 genes in response to 1α,25(OH)2D3.

The hormonal form of vitamin D regulates several mechanisms it was not previously known to influence, including transcription factors, fatty acid, amino acid, and xenobiotic metabolic pathways, and peptide hormones. Vitamin D, it turns out, is crucial for more than just calcium homeostasis and bone. ■

Kelly Horvath


Low Testosterone Is Not a Natural Result of Aging

➤ A new population-based study shows that testosterone levels can be more or less sustained throughout a man’s lifespan if he is healthy. Declining testosterone, the study said, is likely to be the result of lifestyle and chronic disease-related factors rather than aging.

Led by Gary Wittert, M.D., Freemasons Foundation Centre for Men’s Health, University of Adelaide in Australia, scientists took blood testosterone measurements from 1,382 men ages 35–80 years during two separate clinic visits 5 years apart. Of the total population studied, about 290 of the men were bachelors, about 162 smoked, about 414 were overweight or obese, nearly half had central obesity, and about 110 suffered from depression. In their paper, presented at ENDO 2012* by Andre B. Araujo, Ph.D., Department of Epidemiology, New England Research Institutes, Inc., Watertown, Massachusetts, the researchers reported that on average, testosterone levels decreased less than one percent each year. The men who revealed significant declines in serum measurements were those who became obese, had chronic cardiovascular disease, were depressed, quit smoking, or were unmarried.

The researchers concluded that testosterone decline is caused by health and lifestyle factors rather than a de facto result of aging. Making health care providers aware of this fact is critical for targeting the problems underlying the decline in testosterone, they added. ■

Kelly Horvath


Worldwide, about 5 million babies have been born from assisted reproductive technologies such as in vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

Benefits of Soy Diet for Prostate Cancer Linked to Estrogen

The mighty soybean is full of antioxidants and has been linked to a lower risk of prostate cancer. Soy contains genistein, a phytoestrogen shown to be an anti-cancer compound, and a new study looks at whether genistein relies on estrogen receptors to provide its shield against cancer.

A team of researchers, led by Anna Slusarz, Ph.D., and Glenn Jackson, D.V.M., from the Department of Biochemistry at the University of Missouri in Columbia bred mice lacking estrogen receptors alpha or beta (ERα and ERβ) and randomly assigned them to three different diet groups: casein alone, casein with 300 mg genistein/kg, or casein with 750 mg genistein/kg. The mice were fed these diets from 6 weeks of age until 5 months of age and then euthanized for tissue examination.

The researchers found that genistein consumption reduced the incidence of cancer in the mice with normal estrogen receptors (ERWT/TRAMP). Cancer occurred in 70 percent of the ERWT mice fed only casein, while the cancer incidence in ERWT mice fed low-dose genistein (300 mg/kg) was 47 percent and only 32 percent in the 750-mg/kg genistein group. Genistein had no effect on total cancer incidence in the mice bred without estrogen receptors. The data imply that genistein requires the presence of both estrogen receptors (ERα and ERβ) to enact its protective action.

The researchers conclude in their upcoming article in *Endocrinology* that their study offers unique insight into the effects of estrogen receptor signaling on the development of prostate cancer. They add that although many questions remain, their data suggest that targeting both ERα and ERβ would be useful in preventing and treating prostate cancer.

---

High-Dose Estrogen Treatment for Tall Girls Linked to Infertility

Taking high doses of estrogen can stunt undesired tall height in girls, but it may also have a lasting impact on their later fertility.

For decades, adolescent girls have requested treatment to reduce their final height. In the 1960s daily doses of ethinyl estradiol (EE) as high as 500 mg were prescribed, but in later decades were reduced to 100 mg or 200 mg. Although previous research has linked the treatment to later infertility, a new study examines whether or not a higher estrogen dose is associated with increased infertility.

A team of Dutch researchers led by A. E.J. Hendriks, M.D., from Erasmus Medical Center-Sophia in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, studied 125 women ages 20–42 years who were treated with high doses of EE beginning at age 12 for 23–24 months. Fifty-two participants had been treated with 100 mg/day and 43 were treated with 200 mg/day. At the start of treatment, the girls were about 177 cm (69.7 inches) tall and were predicted to have final heights of 188–189 cm (74 inches).

Compared with untreated women, women taking the high doses of estrogen took longer to conceive their first pregnancy. Of the untreated women, 80 percent got pregnant within one year compared to 69 percent of those treated with 100 mg EE and 59 percent of the women treated with 200 mg. Estrogen treatments of both 100 and 200 mg also reduced the women’s fecundity compared with untreated women, and the incidence of women seeking fertility treatment increased significantly with increased estrogen dose.

In an upcoming article in *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, the researchers write that their results demonstrate for the first time the dose-dependent association between estrogen treatment and infertility.

---


Save the Date for **ENDO 2013**

Join us for ENDO 2013, The Endocrine Society’s 95th Annual Meeting & Expo, in the beautiful city by the bay.

Registration opens in the fall of 2012.

For more information, visit [www.endo-society.org/endo2013](http://www.endo-society.org/endo2013)
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Introduction

The switch that turns on pubertal development remains one of the most elusive triggers in science. One successful approach to uncovering pubertal mechanisms has been the study of the genetic causes of delayed puberty and hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. The discovery of kisspeptin and its receptor, which are critical stimulatory factors for GnRH release, brings us one step closer to understanding the events that initiate puberty. Three experts present their perspectives on kisspeptin.
Clinical Practitioner Perspective
By Ana Claudia Latronico, M.D., Ph.D.

Dr. Latronico is professor of medicine and chief of the Endocrinology and Metabolism Division, University of São Paulo Medical School, Brazil.

Highlights

• Kisspeptins are a family of structurally related peptides, encoded by the KISS1 gene, that operate through the G protein-coupled receptor 54 (known as KISS1R or GPR54).
• Kisspeptin peptides are the most powerful stimulators of GnRH secretion and consequently gonadotropin release from anterior pituitary.
• Recessive inactivating mutations of KISS1 and KISS1R genes cause congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism.
• Dominant activating mutations of KISS1 and KISS1R genes are associated with premature activation of GnRH release, leading to precocious puberty.
• Agonists and antagonists of kisspeptin peptides are potential therapeutic tools for reproductive disorders.

Kisspeptin-1 and its cognate receptor are required for normal function of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis and play an important role in the physiologic regulation of puberty.

Kisspeptin system in central precocious puberty

Precocious puberty is characterized by the development of secondary sexual characteristics before the age of eight years in girls and nine years in boys. The premature activation of GnRH secretion leading to central precocious puberty has remarkable female gender predominance and more than 90 percent of the affected girls have the idiopathic form. The relevance of the kisspeptin system in human puberty onset was highlighted by the identification of activating mutations of the KISS1R and KISS1 genes in children with central precocious puberty. A unique, heterozygous, non-constitutively activating mutation of the KISS1R gene was associated with a central precocious puberty phenotype. In vitro studies demonstrated that this mutation leads to sustained activation of intracellular signaling pathways in response to kisspeptin.

Kisspeptins as potential therapeutic tools

Central or peripheral administration of the kisspeptin peptides has been demonstrated to stimulate gonadotropin release in several mammalian species, including humans. Kisspeptin peptides stimulate gonadotropin release in men, and in women during the preovulatory phase of the menstrual cycle, but fail to stimulate gonadotropin release in women during the follicular phase. The sexually dimorphic responses of healthy men and women to kisspeptin administration have important clinical implications for the potential of kisspeptin to treat disorders of reproduction such as infertility. Taken together, potential agonists and antagonists of kisspeptin may have potential for pharmacological intervention in human reproductive diseases and facilitate understanding of the physiological roles of the kisspeptin system.

Defects in the kisspeptin system cause hypogonadotropic hypogonadism

The failure of gonadal function secondary to deficient pituitary gonadotropin secretion results in a clinical syndrome defined as isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. The biological hallmark of this disorder is a decreased level of sex steroids associated with low or normal levels of FSH and LH without other pituitary hormonal deficiencies. Loss-of-function mutations in the KISS1R gene were first described in consanguineous families with isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism without olfaction abnormalities. Since then, other inactivating mutations have been described in KISS1R in patients with sporadic and familial normosmic isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. To date, the frequency of mutations in KISS1R as a cause of this autosomal recessive disorder is relatively low. Further, the types of mutations have been unique, including point mutations, partial deletions and insertions. Very recently, a homozygous inactivating mutation in KISS1 gene was also identified in a large consanguineous family. This mutation results in failure of pubertal progression, confirming that kisspeptin signaling is a critical element in the human hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis.
Clinical Researcher Perspective
By Stephanie Seminara, M.D.

Dr. Seminara is a doctor of reproductive endocrinology at Massachusetts General Hospital, in Boston.

Highlights

• Loss of function mutations in the kisspeptin receptor were discovered in patients with GnRH deficiency.
• Kisspeptin works with other co-expressed neuropeptides, including neurokinin B and dynorphin.
• Mutations in the neurokinin B signaling pathway have also been identified in patients with GnRH deficiency.

The hypothalamic hormone GnRH has traditionally been touted as the central driver of pituitary gonadotropin secretion, controlling pulsatile gonadotropin secretion, modulating gonadal steroid feedback, and bringing about full fertility in the adult. Understanding GnRH neuronal regulation is essential to understanding the neurohumoral control of human reproduction. In 2003, two groups discovered that in both the human and the mouse, mutations in \textit{GPR54} (encoding the kisspeptin receptor, also known as \textit{KISS1R}) cause hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. This can be corrected by the administration of exogenous GnRH.\(^1,2\) Soon thereafter, several groups began assembling genetic, expression, physiologic, transgenic, knockdown, and electrophysiologic data to characterize the physiology of kisspeptin and its seminal role in modulating GnRH release. Kisspeptin was soon discovered to be a powerful stimulus for GnRH-induced LH secretion, and displaced GnRH from its premier position at the top of the reproductive cascade. Kisspeptin is now recognized as critical in regulating the timing of sexual maturation, gonadotropin secretion by gonadal hormones in adults, and the control of fertility by metabolic and environmental (e.g., photoperiod) cues.\(^3\)

Although the physiologic story of the kisspeptin system began to grow very quickly, the human genetics story took longer to evolve. In 2008, an activating mutation in \textit{KISS1R} was identified in a girl with central precocious puberty.\(^4\) Not only did this observation reinforce the notion that kisspeptin is an important gatekeeper of pubertal function in the human, but it was also the first identification of loss- and gain-of-function mutations critical to the hypothalamic control of GnRH leading to opposite reproductive phenotypes.

Identifying mutations in \textit{KISS1R, KISS1}\(^5\)

Throughout this period, investigators struggled to identify large cohorts of patients carrying kisspeptin pathway mutations. Perhaps because of the importance of the kisspeptin pathway in modulating GnRH secretion or its other biologic roles in placental development and metastasis suppression, the total number of cases of individuals bearing mutations in \textit{KISS1R} remains relatively small. Moreover, despite the obvious candidacy of the kisspeptin gene itself, the identification of mutations in \textit{KISS1} eluded investigators for eight years. However, in 2011, heterozygote mutations in \textit{KISS1} were reported in patients with GnRH deficiency, validated by in vitro and animal studies.\(^6\) This report was followed by the identification of a homozygote mutation in \textit{KISS1} in 2012.\(^6\)

Kisspeptin is now understood to be co-expressed with neurokinin B (NKB) and dynorphin, giving rise to the term KNDy neurons (Kisspeptin-Neurokinin B-Dynorphin). NKB is a member of the substance P-related tachykinin family and its receptor is expressed both on KNDy and GnRH neurons. Dynorphin is an opioid that participates in progesterone-mediated negative feedback control of
GnRH release. Loss-of-function mutations in the genes encoding neurokinin B (TAC3) and its receptor (TAC3R) result in hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism and pubertal failure. Although mutations in the dynorphin or its receptor have not yet been reported, the presence of mutations in the other two signaling pathways in GnRH-deficient states demonstrates the importance of this neuropeptide ensemble in the hypothalamic control of reproduction.

These genetic discoveries have come back to the bedside. Exogenous kisspeptin has now been administered to healthy men and women, and patients with reproductive disorders, including those with mutations in the neurokinin B signaling pathway. Its power as a physiological probe lies in its ability to teach us about the fundamental secretory properties of GnRH neurons in vivo, and studies to date have revealed fascinating insights into GnRH neuronal responsiveness in different sex steroid milieu and GnRH pulse generation.

**Basic Researcher Perspective**

*By Manuel Tena-Sempere, M.D., Ph.D.*

Dr. Tena-Sempere is professor of physiology at the University of Cordoba and senior researcher at the Instituto Maimónides de Investigación Biomédicas de Córdoba (IMIBIC) and the National Biomedical Research Center in Obesity and Nutrition (CIBERobn), Cordoba, Spain.

### Highlights

- Distinct populations of kisspeptin-producing (Kiss1) neurons have been identified in the hypothalamus, with different distributions depending on the species.
- Kiss1 neurons in the ARC transmit the negative feedback from sex steroids that controls pulsatile GnRH, and hence gonadotropin, secretion.
- Experimental data in rodents strongly suggest that rostral Kiss1 neurons are involved in mediating the positive feedback effects of estradiol and generation of the pre-ovulatory gonadotropin surge.
- Kiss1 neurons participate in the central sensing and transmission of the regulatory actions of diverse reproductive modifiers, such as nutritional/metabolic & photoperiodic cues.

### Mechanistic insight: Kisspeptins and the control of GnRH neurons

The source of brain kisspeptins has been actively investigated. In rodents, two prominent hypothalamic populations of Kiss1 neurons exist: one in the arcuate nucleus (ARC) and another in the rostral periventricular area of the third ventricle. In other mammals, including humans, Kiss1 neurons are present in the ARC/infundibular region, but the existence of a population equivalent to the rodent RP3V has yet to be confirmed. ARC and RP3V Kiss1 neurons are functionally dissimilar: they respond differently to several key regulators, express different sets of co-transmitters, and seem to be differently wired to GnRH neurons.

Kisspeptin projections to GnRH neuronal cell bodies in rodents seem to originate mainly from RP3V, although projections from some ARC Kiss1 neurons may also exist. Studies in monkeys have shown kisspeptin fibers in close proximity to GnRH terminals in the median eminence, suggesting a regulatory role on GnRH release. The above evidence is compatible with additional, indirect kisspeptin effects on GnRH neurons, but other evidence points to direct actions at the pituitary level.

### Kiss1 neurons as gatekeepers of puberty and ovulation

The absence of puberty in humans and mice with genetic inactivation of Gpr54 or Kiss1 fueled mechanistic studies on how kisspeptins participate in the control of mammalian puberty. These analyses documented the complex pattern of activation of Kiss1 neurons, which seems to be essential for the normal timing of puberty. This phenomenon includes not only the increase of kisspeptin tone, but also of the sensitivity to the stimulatory effects of kisspeptins. In addition, there is a rise in the number of kisspeptin neurons and their projections to GnRH neurons during puberty. Blockade of Gpr54 or timed ablation of Kiss1 neurons at the infantile-juvenile transition has been shown to disturb puberty onset. Experimental manipulations known to alter brain sex differentiation have been shown to perturb the development of the hypothalamic Kiss1 system.

Compelling experimental evidence suggests a crucial role of kisspeptins in the generation of the pre-ovulatory surge of gonadotropins, which triggers ovulation. Indeed, Kiss1 neurons in the RP3V are activated in rodents during the pre-ovulatory period, whereas blockade of kisspeptin action abrogates the ovulatory LH surge. Experimental evidence suggests that the pre-ovulatory rise of estrogen is responsible for the activation of Kiss1 neurons in the RP3V before ovulation, as a major mechanism for its positive feedback effects.

### Kiss1 neurons as conduits for sex steroid and metabolic regulation of GnRH neurons

Kiss1 neurons are sensitive to changes in sex steroid milieu and are involved in conveying the negative feedback of sex steroids in the tonic control of gonadotropin secretion. ARC Kiss1 neurons, which express ERα and androgen receptors, increase Kiss1 expression after sex steroid withdrawal and decrease Kiss1 expression following gonadal steroid replacement. The functional relevance of such changes is illustrated by the finding that the normal gonadotropin increases that occur upon removal of sex steroids are lost in the absence of kisspeptin signaling.

Metabolic cues also appear to use Kiss1 neurons as a conduit for their well-known influence on puberty onset and...
fertility. For example, metabolic stress linked to reproductive failure, including not only persistent negative energy balance but also obesity, blunt the hypothalamic (mainly ARC) expression of Kiss1/kisspeptins, whereas kisspeptin replacement can overcome the gonadotropic defects in some of those conditions. Leptin was initially suggested to operate as a direct metabolic regulator of Kiss1 neurons. Yet this model has been recently challenged by expression and functional genomic data suggesting that leptin actions on Kiss1 neurons might be preferentially indirect.

Kiss1 neurons may also funnel additional regulatory signals onto GnRH neurons, including ageing, stress, and environmental cues. This reinforces the view that Kiss1 neurons are upper-order sensors of a wide array of reproductive regulators, which drive GnRH secretion as result of their capacity to integrate diverse regulatory signals.

**Future directions in kisspeptin research**

Considerable effort is being invested in elucidating the signals that interact with and/or modulate kisspeptin signaling in the brain. Although interest has focused on kisspeptin-NKB interactions, many other central and peripheral transmitters are also under investigation. Similarly, recent data strongly suggest that, in addition to transcriptional mechanisms, epigenetic and post-transcriptional regulation of Kiss1 pathways is likely to play important roles. Experimental efforts along these lines will allow an integrated understanding of how kisspeptins operate to control puberty and fertility; a basic knowledge that may enable the refinement of current strategies for clinical care and management of reproductive disorders.
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**Treatment**

Pasireotide sc 900 µg bid

---

**ELIGIBLE PATIENTS:**

- Adult patients with a confirmed diagnosis of Cushing’s disease
  - Mean UFC >ULN
  - Morning plasma ACTH within or above the normal range
  - MRI confirmation of pituitary adenoma (≥0.6 cm) or positive inferior petrosal sinus gradient for patients with a microadenoma <0.6 cm
  - Histopathological confirmation of an ACTH-staining adenoma in postsurgical patients

- Patients with de novo Cushing’s disease who are nonsurgical candidates

**PRIMARY END POINT:**

- The proportion of patients with drug-related grade 3 or 4 AEs or serious AEs

---

Pasireotide (SOM230) is an investigational new drug. Efficacy and safety have not been established. There is no guarantee that pasireotide will become commercially available.

Abbreviations: ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; AEs, adverse events; bid, twice a day; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SC, subcutaneous; UFC, urinary free cortisol; ULN, upper limit of normal.

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01582061
In the never-ending search for the fountain of youth, human growth hormone has become the latest celebrity health craze. The effectiveness and safety of using growth hormone (GH) supplements to stave off aging, however, remain controversial in the medical community. In another approach to figuring out the path to longevity, scientists are now aiming their anti-aging therapies at hormones and other compounds that genetic studies suggest play a key role in the aging process. The new anti-aging focus is due to mounting evidence that mutations in genes affecting an endocrine signaling pathway, called the somatotropic axis, play a major role in determining not only lifespan but also in delaying the onset of debilitating illnesses that come with aging.

“Changing single genes within these pathways can extend lifespan dramatically,” Cynthia Kenyon, Ph.D., at the University of California, San Francisco, wrote in a 2005 article in Cell. Kenyon’s studies on worms in 1993 showed that mutations in a single gene not only doubled the animals’ lifespans, but the mutant worms were also more mobile and exhibited fewer signs of physical deterioration than those without the mutations. “Some of these long-lived mutants are breathtaking; in human terms, they look like forty-year-olds when they are actually eighty or even older,” she wrote.

The discovery that a change in just one or two genes could change lifespan was significant, said Joseph Baur, Ph.D., of the University of Pennsylvania, who also studies aging. “Most people thought there were thousands of different things going wrong during aging and you would never be able to change enough of them to make a difference.”

The mutations occurred in genes that in humans determine the activity of insulin, growth hormone (GH), or...
insulin-like growth factor one (IGF-I), a trinity of compounds that work together to enable animals to grow and reproduce when food is sufficient. These compounds trigger growth in stature in immature animals and influence the growth of girth in adults when food is abundant. A lack of food or stress reduces their actions, but in doing so triggers a number of health-promoting mechanisms. “If you reduce food intake, you turn down insulin-GH-IGF-I activity,” explained Andrzej Bartke, Ph.D., an expert on aging at Southern Illinois University.

Recently, researchers have linked changes in this growth-promoting pathway to long life in humans. Studies in 2008 and 2011 found that people with impaired IGF-I activity were more likely to live 100 years or more. In contrast, mice with mutations that increased their GH or IGF-I activity die young, as do people with acromegaly due to excessive secretions of GH and IGF-I.

Not only does a lack of GH or IGF-I activity seem to promote longevity, it also appears to delay aging. Many long-lived animals with GH or IGF-I receptor mutations never develop a number of age-related diseases, including cancer and heart disease, “suggesting the possibility of forestalling multiple diseases all at once by targeting aging itself,” Kenyon said.

Some evidence suggests that this might be the case in humans as well. Laron dwarfs in Ecuador and other populations that are unresponsive to growth hormones seem to have remarkable protection from developing cancer and diabetes. The Laron community, however, is not immune to cardiovascular disease, researchers have noted, and the findings on the effects of reduced GH or IGF-I signaling on heart function remain inconclusive.

In contrast to Laron dwarfs, acromegalics have increased growth hormone activity and an increased risk of diabetes, heart disease, and cancer. Studies in both mice and humans
link elevated IGF-I levels with heightened risk for developing a number of different types of cancers.

Insulin, GH, or IGF-I activity in the brain might also play a role in fostering age-related brain disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease. When researchers suppressed insulin activity only in brain cells, mice lived longer. Genetic reduction of IGF-I activity also slowed the deterioration of cognitive faculties and the death of brain cells or their connections in mice with Alzheimer's disease.

“If you reduce insulin or IGF-I action you get a lot of bang for your buck because you activate all these beneficial pathways,” Kenyon noted.

Several studies find that reduced GH or IGF-I activity triggers the production of antioxidant enzymes that protects cells from damage by free radicals and other reactive oxygen compounds. Studies in worms and mice also reveal that suppression of insulin, GH, or IGF-I activity appears to protect neurons from the clumping of the beta amyloid protein that is the hallmark of Alzheimer's disease. Worm studies find such suppression increases production of chaperone proteins that help other proteins fold properly or escort misfolded proteins to cellular garbage cans, thereby perhaps preventing the harmful buildup of amyloid plaques in the brain.

There’s also evidence that deficient GH action in mice favorably alters the distribution and function of fat tissue. Although this deficiency boosts the amount of fat tissue in mice, that fat tissue is less likely to be deposited in the abdomen and promote insulin resistance, inflammation, and other ills linked to abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome in people. GH deficiency also boosts the amount of adiponectin, a hormone secreted by fat cells that promotes insulin sensitivity and has anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic actions that increase longevity in both mice and humans.

**Calorie Restriction Extends Life**

The findings on the effects of growth hormone and IGF-I on aging may help explain why extreme calorie restriction extends life. When an animal is stressed by a lack of food or other factors, it suppresses energy-consuming growth and reproduction in favor of biochemistry that promotes repair and survival.

“What really matters from an evolutionary standpoint is leaving offspring and not how long you live,” Bartke explained. “Growth hormone fits into that concept because it makes you grow and reach sexual maturation and leave offspring.” For humans, who stop growing in stature relatively early in life but tend to still grow in girth due to an ever present abundance of food, growth hormone and IGF-I action, even at normal levels, may be harmful to health and longevity.

This conclusion is striking given our current obesity epidemic, Bartke and Kenyon pointed out. “People are overeating for their energy expenditure and becoming overweight,” said Bartke. “We’re doing something that is very wrong in terms of health and longevity and the current generation may be the first generation in recent history that won’t live longer than their parents.”

“I believe that we need to get rid of much of the sugar that’s in our diets and exercise more,” Kenyon added. The whole focus is on fat, but sugar is what triggers insulin activity and prevents the body from using its stored fat reserves. If you can maintain a lower insulin state, you can do wonders.”

This is already apparent in people who stick rigidly to extremely low-calorie diets with the hopes of forestalling aging. Studies on these individuals reveal they have very
low insulin and blood glucose levels, impressive blood lipid profiles, and the blood pressures of people half their age. “They certainly look like they are not likely to get most of the things that kill people, but it remains to be seen whether they will live longer,” Bartke said.

Although inducing major stress or severely restricting food intake are not likely to be successful therapies in our culture, the recent findings on GH, IGF-I, and aging suggest that medications may be developed to adjust the amount of these compounds, or others downstream, so that the age of 60 may one day be the new 30. Studies in mice show it is possible to extend life with the drug rapamycin, even when the drug regimen isn’t started until the mice are middle-aged.

“Perturbing the Pathway”

But there could potentially be tradeoffs in tampering with Mother Nature. Although rapamycin causes mice to live longer healthier lives, for example, it is an immune suppressant that might have unacceptable side effects. And although low levels of IGF-I are tied to a lower risk of cancer, they are also linked to greater risk of developing type 2 diabetes, osteoporosis, and poor cognitive function.

It might be possible to “cause some modest reduction in GH and IGF-I activity that is within the physiological range and won’t be life threatening, but will keep insulin levels and sensitivity youthful,” Bartke suggested.

“You can imagine getting in there and perturbing the pathway in a way that doesn’t create unfavorable side effects,” said Kenyon. “I think that’s feasible because after all, small-breed dogs are small because they have low IGF-I levels, and they also live longer than large dogs and are very healthy.”

Another approach to lengthening the “youthspan” might be to induce a minor stress by administering a small amount of a toxin or some other substance, that might cause the body to roll out the protective responses triggered by reducing insulin-GH and IGF-I activity, Kenyon added. She is currently testing such an approach on human cells. She said she looks forward to the day when “people can take a drug that makes them naturally more resilient and able to live longer and resist disease.”

Patlak is a freelance science writer in Philadelphia.
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Body as a Whole: Pain (21.3%, 20.5%), Headache (7.5%, 7.4%), Asthenia (8.7%, 6.8%), Neck Pain (3.0%, 2.7%), Cardiac: Hypertension (7.1%, 6.8%), Angina Pectoris (2.5%, 1.6%), Syncope (2.6%, 1.4%), Digestive: Nausea (8.5%, 6.7%), Constipation (5.4%, 4.5%), Diarrhea (5.1%, 4.6%), Dyspepsia (5.2%, 4.1%), Vomiting (3.0%, 2.3%), Gastrointestinal: Disorder (2.3%, 2.0%), Tooth disorder (2.0%, 1.3%), Musculoskeletal: Arthralgia (10.1%, 8.4%), Leg cramps (2.6%, 1.3%), Nervous: System: Dizziness (8.0%, 5.4%), Depression (4.1%, 2.7%), Insomnia (4.3%, 3.6%), Vertigo (3.8%, 2.7%); Respiratory: Rhinitis (9.6%, 8.8%), Cough increased (6.4%, 5.5%), Pharyngitis (5.5%, 4.8%), Dyspepsia (3.6%, 2.6%), Pneumonia (3.9%, 3.3%), Skin and Appendages: Rash (4.9%, 4.5%), Swelling (2.2%, 1.7%), Immunogenicity: In the clinical trial, antibodies that cross-react with teriparatide, were observed in 3% of women (15/541) receiving FORTEO. Generally, antibodies were first detected following 12 months of treatment and diminished after withdrawal of therapy. There was no evidence of hypersensitivity reactions or allergic reactions among these patients. Antibody formation did not appear to have effects on serum calcium, or on bone mineral density (BMD) response. Laboratory Findings Serum Calcium: FORTEO transiently increased serum calcium, with the maximal effect observed at approximately 4 to 6 hours post-dose. Serum calcium measured at least 16 hours post-dose was not different from pretreatment levels. In clinical trials, the frequency of at least 1 episode of transient hypercalcemia in the 4 to 6 hours after FORTEO administration was increased from 2% of women and none of the men treated with placebo to 11% of women and 6% of men treated with FORTEO. The number of patients treated with FORTEO whose transient hypercalcemia was verified on consecutive measurements was 3% of women and 1% of men. Urinary Calcium: FORTEO increased urinary calcium excretion, but the frequency of hypercalcuria in clinical trials was similar for patients treated with FORTEO and placebo. Serum Uric Acid: FORTEO increased serum uric acid concentrations. In clinical trials, 3% of FORTEO patients had serum uric acid concentrations above the upper limit of normal compared with 1% of placebo patients. However, the hyperuricemia did not result in an increase in gout, arthritis, or urolithiasis. Renal Function: No clinically
important adverse renal effects were observed in clinical studies. Assessments included creatinine clearance; measurements of blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, and electrolytes in serum; urine specific gravity and pH; and examination of urine sediment. Studies in Men and Women with Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis The safety of FORTEO in the treatment of men and women with glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis was assessed in a randomized, double-blind, active-controlled trial of 428 patients (19% men, 81% women) aged 22 to 89 years (mean 57 years) treated with ≥ 5 mg per day prednisone or equivalent for a minimum of 3 months. The duration of the trial was 18 months with 214 patients exposed to FORTEO and 214 patients exposed to oral daily bisphosphonate (active control). All patients received 1000 mg of calcium plus 800 IU of vitamin D supplementation per day. The incidence of all-cause mortality was 4% in the FORTEO group and 6% in the active control group. The incidence of serious adverse events was 21% in FORTEO patients and 18% in active control patients, and included pneumonia (3% FORTEO, 1% active control). Early discontinuation because of adverse events occurred in 15% of FORTEO patients and 12% of active control patients, and included dizziness (2% FORTEO, 0% active control). Adverse events reported at a higher incidence in the FORTEO group and with at least a 2% difference in FORTEO-treated patients compared with active control-treated patients were: nausea (14%, 7%), gastritis (7%, 3%), pneumonia (6%, 3%), dyspnea (6%, 3%), insomnia (5%, 1%), anxiety (4%, 1%), and herpes zoster (3%, 1%), respectively. Postmarketing Experience: The following adverse reactions have been identified during postapproval use of FORTEO. Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure. Osteosarcoma: Cases of bone tumor and osteosarcoma have been reported rarely in the postmarketing period. The causality to FORTEO use is unclear. Long term osteosarcoma surveillance studies are ongoing. Hypercalcemia: Hypercalcemia greater than 13.0 mg/dL has been reported with FORTEO use. Adverse events reported since market introduction that were temporally (but not necessarily causally) related to FORTEO therapy include the following: Allergic Reactions: Anaphylactic reactions, drug hypersensitivity, angioedema, urticaria; Investigations: Hyperuricemia; Respiratory System: Acute dyspnea, chest pain; Musculoskeletal: Muscle spasms of the leg or back; Other: Injection site reactions including injection site pain, swelling and bruising; oro-facial edema.

USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS
Pregnancy Category C. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of FORTEO in pregnant women. In animal studies, teriparatide increased skeletal deviations and variations in mouse offspring at doses more than 60 times the equivalent human dose and produced mild growth retardation and reduced motor activity in rats offspring at doses more than 120 times the equivalent human dose. FORTEO should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. In animal studies, pregnant mice received teriparatide during organogenesis at subcutaneous doses 8 to 267 times the human dose. At doses ≥ 60 times the human dose, the fetuses showed an increased incidence of skeletal deviations or variations (interrupted rib, extra vertebrae or rib). When pregnant rats received subcutaneous teriparatide during organogenesis at doses 16 to 540 times the human dose, the fetuses showed no abnormal findings. In a perinatal/postnatal study, pregnant rats received subcutaneous teriparatide from organogenesis through lactation. Mild growth retardation in female offspring at doses >120 times the human dose (based on surface area, mcg/m2). Mild growth retardation in male offspring and reduced motor activity in both male and female offspring occurred at maternal doses 540 times the human dose.

There were no developmental or reproductive effects in mice or rats at doses 8 or 16 times the human dose, respectively. Exposure multiples were normalized based on body surface area (mcg/m2). Actual animal doses: mice (30 to 1000 mcg/kg/day); rats (30 to 1000 mcg/kg/day). 

Nursing Mothers: It is not known whether teriparatide is excreted in human milk. Because of the potential for tumorigenicity shown for teriparatide in animal studies, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or to discontinue the drug, taking into account the importance of the drug to the mother. 

Pediatric Use: The safety and efficacy of FORTEO have not been established in any pediatric population. FORTEO should not be prescribed in patients at an increased baseline risk of osteosarcoma which include pediatric and young adult patients with open epiphyses. Therefore, FORTEO is not indicated for use in pediatric or young adult patients with open epiphyses. 

Geriatric Use: Of the patients receiving FORTEO in the osteoporosis trial of 1637 postmenopausal women, 75% were 65 years of age and over and 23% were 75 years of age and over. Of the patients receiving FORTEO in the osteoporosis trial of 437 men, 39% were 65 years of age and over and 13% were 75 years of age and over. No overall differences in safety or effectiveness were observed between these subjects and younger subjects, and other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients, but greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out. 

Hepatic Impairment: No studies have been performed in patients with hepatic impairment.

Renal Impairment: In 5 patients with severe renal impairment (CrCl<30 mL/min), the AUC and T1/2 of teriparatide were increased by 73% and 77%, respectively. Maximum serum concentration of teriparatide was not increased.

OVERDOSAGE
Incidents of overdose in humans have not been reported in clinical trials. Teriparatide has been administered in single doses of up to 100 mcg and in repeated doses of up to 60 mcg/day for 6 weeks. The effects of overdose that might be expected include a delayed hypercalcemic effect and risk of orthostatic hypotension. Nausea, vomiting, dizziness, and headache might also occur. In postmarketing spontaneous reports, there have been cases of medication errors in which the entire contents (up to 800 mcg) of the FORTEO delivery device (pen) have been administered as a single dose. Transient events reported have included nausea, weakness/lethargy and hypotension. In some cases, no adverse events occurred as a result of the overdose. No fatalities associated with overdose have been reported. Overdose Management: There is no specific antidote for teriparatide. Treatment of suspected overdose should include discontinuation of FORTEO, monitoring of serum calcium and phosphorus, and implementation of appropriate supportive measures, such as hydration.

DOSE FORMS AND STRENGTHS
Multi-dose prefilled delivery device (pen) for subcutaneous injection containing 28 daily doses of 20 mcg.

PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION
Patients should read the FDA-approved Medication Guide and delivery device (pen) User Manual before starting therapy with FORTEO and re-read them each time the prescription is renewed. Patients need to understand and follow the instructions in the FORTEO delivery device User Manual. Failure to do so may result in inaccurate dosing.
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Society Advocates Principles on EDCs in the U.S. and Abroad

By Loretta L. Doan, Ph.D.

In a recently issued statement of principles, The Endocrine Society proposed a streamlined definition of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs). “Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals and Public Health Protection: A Statement of Principles from The Endocrine Society” clarifies the definition of an EDC and outlines the key concepts of endocrinology needed to ensure that regulatory decisions are based on the cutting-edge science of the last decade.

The statement defines an endocrine disruptor as an exogenous chemical, or mixture of chemicals, that interferes with any aspect of hormone action. It also includes several recommendations designed to strengthen screening for EDCs. In June, the new statement was presented to the news media at an ENDO 2012 press conference and released online. It will also appear in the September issue of Endocrinology.

In 2009, the Society released a Scientific Statement on EDCs in the U.S. and Abroad. The statement’s lead author, presented the key points of the statement of principles to the assembled group of more than 250 policymakers, regulators, scientists, non-governmental organizations, and industry representatives from the United States, the European Union, and other nations. He stressed the importance of incorporating endocrinology into the risk assessment paradigms for EDCs and of engaging endocrinologists in the design and interpretation of studies that guide regulation.

National Leadership

The Society also met with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Acting Assistant Administrator Jim Jones and his staff in May to review the principles, which the statement frames in the context of the EPA’s Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP). The EPA has regulatory authority over EDCs through the Food Quality Protection Act and under amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act. During the meeting, the Society and EPA discussed ways in which the organizations can collaborate to improve EDC screening and testing.

Like the United States, the European Union (EU) faces the complex and complicated problem of regulating EDCs under a number of legislative vehicles. The Commission is charged with providing criteria for the assessment of, and regulatory decisions about, the endocrine-disrupting properties of chemicals by December 2013.

The Commission specifically requested the perspective of the Society, the only endocrinology group to contribute to the European EDC debate. R. Thomas Zoeller, Ph.D., the statement’s lead author, presented the key points of the statement of principles to the assembled group of more than 250 policymakers, regulators, scientists, non-governmental organizations, and industry representatives from the United States, the European Union, and other nations. He stressed the importance of incorporating endocrinology into the risk assessment paradigms for EDCs and of engaging endocrinologists in the design and interpretation of studies that guide regulation.

International Presence

The Society presented the latest statement to policymakers and various interest groups assembled in Brussels in June by the European Commission.

During a Horizons@Heinz lecture series briefing in May sponsored by the H. John Heinz III Center for Science, Economics, and the Environment in Washington, D.C., the Society pressed U.S. policy makers to incorporate endocrine principles into the EDSP and other risk assessment programs that test chemicals for endocrine activity. The lecture series raises the profile of urgent and emerging issues through national and international policy debate.

The chair of the lecture program invited the Society to participate in the event because of its leadership in the science and policy of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. Among the attendees were Senators John Kerry (D-MA) and Sheldon Whitehouse (D-RI). Congressional staff and representatives of federal agencies, including the EPA, Food and Drug Administration, and the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, also attended. Advocacy groups, media, green chemists, industry, and the French Embassy were also represented.

The Society’s Commitment

At all of these forums, Zoeller described critical principles of endocrinology that differ from those of classic toxicology and recommended changes to the regulatory process to ensure it is equipped to identify potential endocrine-disrupting activity. The Society will continue to work domestically and internationally to ensure that the decisions being made by regulators incorporate the latest endocrine science available for evaluating EDCs.

Doan is the director of Science Policy at The Endocrine Society.
A Renaissance for Long-Acting Reversible Contraception

By Melissa Mapes

The intrauterine device (IUD) has come a long way since the 1970s when many were pulled off the market after being linked to pelvic inflammation, infections, and even death. The modern redesigned IUDs, plus recommended testing for sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) prior to insertion, have all but eradicated such complications, allowing a new generation of women to revive the IUD.

Studies show that IUDs and the subdermal implant prevent unintended pregnancies far more effectively than other reversible options on the market, with a maximum of 1 contraceptive failure per 100 women in a year. The Depo-Provera shot came in second with an incidence of 3 per 100, followed by the pill at 8 per 100 and condoms at 15 per 100.

The IUD’s convenience, which requires replacement every five years for the Mirena, a device that releases the synthetic hormone levonorgestrel, and 10 years for the copper-only ParaGard, has won over many providers and patients, but these devices still comprise a small share of the contraceptive market.

According to Jeffrey F. Peipert, M.D., M.P.H., M.H.A., Robert J. Terry Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology and vice chair of clinical research at Washington University School of Medicine, and author of the “Effectiveness of Long-term Reversible Contraception” recently published in The New England Journal of Medicine, there are three barriers slowing the spread of IUDs: education, access, and affordability.

Studies show that IUDs and the subdermal implant prevent unintended pregnancies far more effectively than other reversible options on the market.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration did not approve IUDs for women who had not given birth until 2005. Jesuit hospitals often will not prescribe IUDs because they prevent fertilization and implantation rather than ovulation. Insurers frequently deny coverage for IUDs over other types of birth control, making the up-front costs of about $400-$1,000 a barrier.

Despite these obstacles, Catherine M. Gordon, M.D., M.Sc., director of the Bone Health Program in the Divisions of Adolescent Medicine and Endocrinology at Boston Children’s Hospital and lead author of the recent article “Approach to the Adolescent Requesting Contraception” in The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, has noticed a distinct rise in Mirena IUD requests among her patients. “Generally older adolescents have an interest in this method.” The initial discomfort of IUD insertion into the cervix can be difficult for younger teens. She noted that Children’s Hospital does not have physicians trained to insert IUDs, meaning that patients must go to the neighboring adult hospitals. Special training is required, which has also slowed the popularity of the IUD.

Complications during and after insertion may occur if not done correctly. Alexandra Glorioso, 25, a financial systems specialist in New York City, had an unfortunate experience at her university’s student health services: “It took like 45 minutes, and they gave me Vicodin afterward, which is atypical.” Despite the initial pain, she says the Mirena IUD was worth it in the long run. “In general I don’t even remember that I have it,” said Glorioso. She explained that the IUD provides security and simplicity that other forms of birth control cannot. She has tried everything from the pill to Depo Provera shots to NuvaRing. She said she is considering switching to the Implanon arm implant when her Mirena turns five next year, but she still highly
What causes osteoporosis in men?
Osteoporosis occurs when your body cannot replace bone as quickly as it breaks down old bone (a natural process called "bone turnover"). Certain factors raise a man’s risk of developing osteoporosis.

How is osteoporosis in men diagnosed?
Your doctor may suspect osteoporosis based on your medical history, risk factors, and physical exam, including your height, balance, and mobility.

The most common way to detect osteoporosis is with a bone density test such as a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA or DEXA) scan. This test measures bone mineral density (BMD) at your lower spine and hip, and gives a score called a T-score. A T-score of –2.5 or lower indicates osteoporosis, and a T-score between –1.0 and –2.5 shows osteopenia. A score above –1.0 is normal.

DXA can also show vertebral fractures (breaks in the bones that make up your spine). People who have had a vertebral fracture are more likely to have more fractures, so vertebral fracture assessment can have important clinical implications.

You may need other lab tests, such as blood or urine tests, if your DXA scan finds osteoporosis. These tests look for chronic health problems that may be the cause of your poor bone health.

Blood tests also can check for abnormal levels of calcium or vitamin D that may cause bones to become weak.

In osteoporosis, bones become weak and are more likely to fracture (break). It is a “silent” condition, causing no symptoms (what you feel) unless you break a bone. About 20% of the 44 million Americans who have osteoporosis or osteopenia (mildly low bone mass) are men.

The lifetime risk of having a fracture due to osteoporosis for men ages 50 and older is 13% to 30%. Fractures occur most often at the hip, spine, and wrist. These fractures—above all, hip fractures—can greatly reduce the quality of life and even lead to early death. Though osteoporosis affects men less often than women, men are two to three times more likely than women to die after breaking a hip.

Fortunately, osteoporosis can be prevented. However, many men are unaware that they are at risk for this dangerous disorder. This guide is based on The Endocrine Society’s practice guidelines for physicians about testing for, treating, and preventing osteoporosis in men.

Osteoporosis Risk Factors

Personal and family history:
- White race
- Age 70 and older
- Thinness
- Prior fracture as an adult, mainly after age 50
- History of delayed puberty
- Family history of osteoporosis or a parent who had a fracture

Lifestyle:
- Cigarette smoking
- Excess alcohol use
- Low calcium and vitamin D intake
- Lack of physical activity

Health problems and medicines:
- Low testosterone (hypogonadism), including low testosterone caused by treatment for prostate cancer
- High calcium levels in the blood (hypercalcemia) or urine (hypercalciuria)
- Vitamin D deficiency
- Disorders that affect many parts of the body including hyperthyroidism (overactive thyroid), hyperprolactinemia, kidney failure, liver failure, celiac disease, and certain cancers
- Use of steroid medications such as prednisone and cortisone for more than 3 months—called glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis

About 20% of Americans who have osteoporosis or osteopenia are men.
Who should get a DXA test?

Men at increased risk of osteoporosis should consider having their BMD measured. This includes men ages 50 to 69 who have any other osteoporosis risk factors (see box on page one). Doctors may suggest that men ages 70 and older, even those who have no other risk factors, have a BMD test.

If your doctor orders a BMD test, you should get a central DXA of the spine and hip. However, spine DXA may be hard to interpret in older men. In this case, your doctor may consider measuring BMD at the forearm.

Depending on your bone density results, other risk factors for fracture, and any treatment you are receiving, you may need another DXA in one to three years to check for further bone loss or response to treatment.

Who should receive treatment?

Whether you need treatment for osteoporosis depends partly on your future chance of breaking a bone.

Fracture risk calculators can help predict your risk of fracture. These Web-based tools compute your estimated risk after you input information such as your age, body weight, past fractures, and BMD. They include the World Health Organization Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX) and one from the Garvan Institute of Medical Research (Garvan Fracture Risk Calculator).

Doctors will consider prescription drug treatment for adult men who:
• Have had a hip or spine fracture without having major trauma
• Have a DXA T-score at the spine or hip of –2.5 or worse
• Have a DXA T-score between –1 and –2.5 along with high fracture risk
• Are receiving long-term glucocorticoid therapy
• Are receiving androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) for prostate cancer along with high fracture risk

What is the treatment for osteoporosis?

The best type of medication to treat osteoporosis depends on the individual. Your doctor will consider your DXA T-scores, your future fracture risk, any fractures you had in the past, and other health problems you may have. The cost of the medicine and other factors also may affect your choice.

In the United States, four medications are approved for treatment of osteoporosis in men. They are
• Alendronate (tablets taken daily or weekly)
• Risedronate (tablets daily, weekly, or monthly)
• Zoledronic acid (intravenous, or IV, once a year)
• Teriparatide (once daily injection under the skin)

The first three drugs are in the class of drugs called bisphosphonates. These drugs slow bone loss and slightly increase bone mass. Both risedronate and zoledronic acid also have approval from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to prevent osteoporosis in men taking glucocorticoid medicine.

In contrast, teriparatide stimulates new bone formation. Because it requires daily injections and is expensive, doctors usually prescribe it only for men with severe osteoporosis.

Men at high fracture risk who are receiving ADT for prostate cancer also have the choice of a new medication named denosumab. Given as an injection under the skin, this drug increases bone density.

Testosterone therapy appears to increase BMD in men with low levels of this male hormone (below 200 nanograms per deciliter, or ng/dL), but its effect on fractures has not been studied. So, unless men have a clear need for testosterone therapy other than for bone health, an FDA-approved osteoporosis medicine is usually the first choice for these men. This is especially true if they have a high fracture risk.

Ask your doctor which treatment is best for you and about its benefits and risks.

What can you do to prevent and treat osteoporosis?

You can help prevent osteoporosis by making changes in your diet and lifestyle. If you are a man at risk of osteoporosis, you should
• Consume 1,000 to 1,200 mg of calcium daily from foods (including calcium-fortified foods and drinks) and, if needed, calcium supplements.
• Take daily vitamin D supplements (1,000 to 2,000 International Units [IU] or more if your doctor prescribes it) if your blood level of vitamin D is low (less than 20 to 30 ng/mL).
• Do weight-bearing activities, such as walking, running, and weight lifting, three or four times a week for 30 to 40 minutes each.
• Drink no more than 10 alcoholic drinks a week (1 drink in the U.S. = 12 ounces of beer, 5 ounces of wine, or 1.5 ounces of hard liquor; in the U.K. or Australia = 1/2 pint of beer, 1 small glass of wine, or a single measure of spirits)
• Quit smoking.

If you already have osteoporosis, you should take 1,000 to 1,200 mg per day of calcium, plus as much vitamin D as your doctor suggests. Also, take your prescribed dose of other medications, keep appointments with your doctor, and get DXA scans as advised. This will help ensure the success of your treatment and healthy bones.
recommends the IUD. Many other women are also singing the praises of the Mirena IUD. The Contraceptive Choice Project, conducted by Peipert, educated women on their birth control options and allowed them to choose their preference free of charge. The researchers found that the Mirena IUD had the highest overall satisfaction rate, with 88 percent sticking with it after one year. The ParaGard and Implanon implants were not far behind, at 84 percent and 83 percent, but all other forms were under 60 percent in the same time period. After removing the three barriers, 75 percent of the 9,256 participants chose long-acting reversible contraception with almost 50 percent deciding upon the Mirena IUD.

Long-term satisfaction with Mirena is often driven by reduced menstrual flow and dysmenorrhea. About 20 percent stop having periods altogether after one year, and even more in the following two to three years. Gordon sometimes prescribes it to patients with mild endometriosis. But, when asked if the discontinued menstruation could affect bone density, both doctors agreed that it requires further investigation. Currently, evidence is insufficient to know if Mirena has such risks, but Peipert hypothesizes that the localized nature of the device should not affect bone health.

Studies also show that most women return to fertility quickly after IUD removal. Gordon explained that the World Health Organization endorses the use of IUDs, even in teens. She says that an increased incidence of STDs in women with IUDs is a myth, and it is an accepted form of “safe and effective contraception.” Only women at very high risk for STDs may not be candidates for an IUD.

The future of long-term reversible contraception is bright. Peipert is currently seeking grants for studies that could extend the accepted lifespan of Mirena from five to eight years. “I believe IUDs are probably good for a lot longer than they’re approved for,” he said. “So I don’t think it’s a major concern if people leave it in longer. They just have to be aware that it’s not approved for that long.” Insurance coverage remains a primary hurdle, but once cost-reducing benefits are recognized, such as reduced incidents of unintended pregnancy, dysmenorrhea, endometriosis, and patient attrition, birth control pills may become a thing of the past.

Mapes is a freelance writer living in Washington, D.C.
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For patients not at goal on insulin glargine, adding BYETTA® can deliver a complementary approach to glycemic control

**Indication and usage**
BYETTA is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.
- Not a substitute for insulin and should not be used in patients with type 1 diabetes or diabetic ketoacidosis.
- Concurrent use with prandial insulin cannot be recommended.
- Has not been studied in patients with a history of pancreatitis. It is unknown whether patients with a history of pancreatitis are at increased risk for pancreatitis while using BYETTA; consider other antidiabetic therapies for these patients.

**Important Safety Information**

**Contraindications**
- BYETTA is contraindicated in patients with prior severe hypersensitivity reactions to exenatide or to any of the product components.

**Warnings and precautions**
- Based on postmarketing data BYETTA has been associated with acute pancreatitis, including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis. After initiation and dose increases of BYETTA, observe patients carefully for pancreatitis (persistent severe abdominal pain, sometimes radiating to the back, with or without vomiting). If pancreatitis is suspected, BYETTA should be discontinued promptly. BYETTA should not be restarted if pancreatitis is confirmed.
- Increased risk of hypoglycemia when used in combination with glucose-independent insulin secretagogues (eg, sulfonylureas); reduction of the sulfonylurea dose may be needed. When used with insulin, evaluate and consider reducing the insulin dose in patients at increased risk of hypoglycemia.
- Postmarketing reports of altered renal function, including increased serum creatinine, renal impairment, worsened chronic renal failure, and acute renal failure, sometimes requiring hemodialysis and kidney transplantation. BYETTA should not be used in patients with severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease. Use with caution in patients with renal transplantation or when initiating or escalating the dose in patients with moderate renal failure.
- Not recommended in patients with severe gastrointestinal disease (eg, gastroparesis).
- Patients may develop antibodies to exenatide. In 3 registration trials, antibody levels were measured in 90% of patients, with up to 4% of patients having high-titer antibodies and attenuated glycemic response. If worsening of or failure to achieve adequate glycemic control occurs, consider alternative antidiabetic therapy.
- Postmarketing reports of serious hypersensitivity reactions (eg, anaphylaxis and angioedema). If this occurs, patients should discontinue BYETTA and other suspect medications and promptly seek medical advice.
BYETTA added to titrated insulin glargine achieved a significantly greater A1C reduction vs titrated insulin glargine alone

| Mean change in A1C at 30 weeks |
|-----------------|-----------------|
|                   | (BL = 8.5)      |
|                   | (n = 137)       |
|                   | (BL = 8.5)      |
|                   | (n = 122)       |
| LS mean change in A1C (%) | 0              |
| BYETTA plus titrated insulin glargine | (n = 137)     |
| Titrated insulin glargine alone | (n = 122)     |
| P < 0.1 vs titrated insulin glargine alone | ITT population. |
| -2.0              |                  |
| -1.6              |                  |
| -1.2              |                  |
| -0.8              |                  |
| -0.4              |                  |
| 0                 |                  |

Abbreviations: LS, least squares; BL, baseline; ITT, intent to treat.

Warnings and precautions (cont’d)

- No clinical studies establishing conclusive evidence of macrovascular risk reduction with BYETTA or any other antidiabetic drug.

Adverse reactions

- Most common adverse reactions in registration trials associated with BYETTA vs placebo (PBO): nausea (44% vs 18%), vomiting (13% vs 4%), and diarrhea (13% vs 6%). Other adverse reactions ≥5% and more than PBO: feeling jittery, dizziness, headache, and dyspepsia. With a thiazolidinedione (TZD), adverse reactions were similar; as monotherapy, most common was nausea (8% vs 0%). With insulin glargine: nausea (41% vs 8%), vomiting (18% vs 4%), diarrhea (18% vs 8%), headache (14% vs 4%), constipation (10% vs 2%), dyspepsia (7% vs 2%), asthenia (5% vs 1%).

- Hypoglycemia incidence, BYETTA vs PBO, with metformin (MET): 5.3% (10 mcg) and 4.5% (5 mcg) vs 5.3%; with SFU, 35.7% (10 mcg) and 14.4% (5 mcg) vs 3.3%; with MET + SFU, 27.8% (10 mcg) and 19.2% (5 mcg) vs 12.6%; with TZD, 10.7% (10 mcg) vs 7.1%; as monotherapy, 3.8% (10 mcg) and 5.2% (5 mcg) vs 1.3%; with insulin glargine, 24.8% (10 mcg) vs 29.5%.

- Withdrawals: as monotherapy, 2 of 155 BYETTA patients withdrew due to headache and nausea vs 0 PBO; with MET and/ or SFU vs PBO, nausea (3% vs <1%) and vomiting (1% vs 0); with TZD + MET, nausea (9%) and vomiting (5%), with <1% of PBO patients withdrawing due to nausea; with insulin glargine vs PBO, nausea (5.1% vs 0), vomiting (2.9% vs 0).

Drug interactions

- BYETTA slows gastric emptying and can reduce the extent and rate of absorption of orally administered drugs. Use with caution with medications that have a narrow therapeutic index or require rapid gastrointestinal absorption. Medications dependent on threshold concentrations for efficacy should be taken at least 1 hour before BYETTA.

- Postmarketing reports of increased international normalized ratio (INR) sometimes associated with bleeding with concomitant use of warfarin. Monitor INR frequently until stable upon initiation or alteration of BYETTA.

Use in specific populations

- Based on animal data, BYETTA may cause fetal harm and should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

- Caution should be exercised when administered to a nursing woman.

- Safety and effectiveness have not been established in pediatric patients.

To learn more, visit www.ByettaHCP.com.

For additional safety profile and other important prescribing considerations, please see the adjacent pages for Brief Summary of Prescribing Information.
INDICATIONS AND USAGE
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
BYETTA is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Important Limitations of Use
BYETTA is not a substitute for insulin. BYETTA should not be used for the treatment of type 1 diabetes or diabetic ketoacidosis, as it would not be effective in these settings.

The concurrent use of BYETTA with prandial insulin has not been studied and cannot be recommended.

Based on postmarketing data BYETTA has been associated with acute pancreatitis, including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis. BYETTA has not been studied in patients with a history of pancreatitis. It is unknown whether patients with a history of pancreatitis are at increased risk for pancreatitis while using BYETTA. Other antidiabetic therapies should be considered in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION
Recommended Dosing
Inject subcutaneously within 60 minutes prior to morning and evening meals (or before the two main meals of the day, approximately 6 hours or more apart). Initiate at 5 mcg per dose twice daily; increase to 10 mcg twice daily after 1 month based on clinical response. Do not mix with insulin. Do not transfer BYETTA from the pen to a syringe or vial.

CONTRAINDICATIONS
Hypersensitivity
BYETTA is contraindicated in patients with prior severe hypersensitivity reactions to exenatide or to any of the product components.

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS
Acute Pancreatitis
Based on postmarketing data BYETTA has been associated with acute pancreatitis, including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis. After initiation of BYETTA, and after dose increases, observe patients carefully for signs and symptoms of pancreatitis (including persistent severe abdominal pain, sometimes radiating to the back, which may or may not be accompanied by vomiting). If pancreatitis is suspected, BYETTA should promptly be discontinued and appropriate management should be initiated. If pancreatitis is confirmed, BYETTA should not be restarted. Consider antidiabetic therapies other than BYETTA in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

Use with Medications Known to Cause Hypoglycemia
The risk of hypoglycemia is increased when BYETTA is used in combination with a sulfonylurea. Therefore, patients receiving BYETTA and a sulfonylurea may require a lower antidiabetic therapies other than BYETTA in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

When BYETTA is used in combination with insulin, the dose of insulin should be evaluated. In patients at increased risk of hypoglycemia consider reducing the dose of insulin. The concurrent use of BYETTA with prandial insulin has not been studied and cannot be recommended. It is also possible that the use of BYETTA with other glucose-independent insulin secretagogues (e.g. meglitinides) could increase the risk of hypoglycemia.

Renal Impairment
BYETTA should not be used in patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min) or end-stage renal disease and should be used with caution in patients with renal transplantation. In patients with end-stage renal disease receiving dialysis, single doses of BYETTA 5 mcg were not well-tolerated due to gastrointestinal side effects. Because BYETTA may induce nausea and vomiting with transient hypoglycemia, treatment may worsen renal function. Caution should be applied when initiating or escalating doses of BYETTA from 5 mcg to 10 mcg in patients with moderate renal impairment (creatinine clearance 30 to 50 mL/min).

There have been postmarketing reports of altered renal function, including increased serum creatinine, renal impairment, worsened chronic renal failure and acute renal failure, sometimes requiring hemodialysis or kidney transplantation. Some of these events occurred in patients receiving one or more pharmacologic agents known to affect renal function or hydration status, such as angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or diuretics. Some events occurred in patients who had been experiencing nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea, with or without dehydration. Reversibility of altered renal function has been observed in many cases with supportive treatment and discontinuation of potentially causative agents, including BYETTA. Exenatide has not been found to be directly nephrotoxic in preclinical or clinical studies.

Gastrointestinal Disease
BYETTA has not been studied in patients with severe gastrointestinal disease, including gastroparesis. Because BYETTA is commonly associated with gastrointestinal adverse reactions, including nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea, the use of BYETTA is not recommended in patients with severe gastrointestinal disease.

Immunogenicity
Patients may develop antibodies to exenatide following treatment with BYETTA. Antibody levels were measured in 90% of subjects in the 30-week, 24-week and 16-week studies of BYETTA. In 3%, 4% and 1% of these patients, respectively, antibody formation was associated with an attenuated glycemic response. If there is worsening glycemic control or failure to achieve targeted glycemic control, alternative antidiabetic therapy should be considered.

Hypersensitivity
There have been postmarketing reports of serious hypersensitivity reactions (e.g. anaphylaxis and angioedema) in patients treated with BYETTA. If a hypersensitivity reaction occurs, the patient should discontinue BYETTA and other suspect medications and promptly seek medical advice.

Macrovacular Outcomes
There have been no clinical studies establishing conclusive evidence of macrovacular risk reduction with BYETTA or any other antidiabetic drug.

ADVERSE REACTIONS
Clinical Trial Experience
Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice.

Hypoglycemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Incidence (%) and Rate of Hypoglycemia When BYETTA was Used as Monotherapy or With Concomitant Antidiabetic Therapy in Six Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trials†</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>BYETTA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monotherapy (24 Weeks)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overall</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (episodes/patient-year)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Severe</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Metformin (30 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overall</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (episodes/patient-year)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Severe</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a Sulfonylurea (30 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overall</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (episodes/patient-year)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Severe</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Metformin and a Sulfonylurea (30 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overall</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (episodes/patient-year)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Severe</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a Thiazolidinedione (16 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overall</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (episodes/patient-years)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Severe</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Insulin Glargine (30 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overall</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (episodes/patient-years)</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Severe</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† A hypoglycemic episode was recorded if a patient reported symptoms of hypoglycemia or without a blood glucose value consistent with hypoglycemia. Severe hypoglycemia was defined as an event with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person and associated with either a blood glucose value consistent with hypoglycemia or prompt recovery after treatment for hypoglycemia.

When BYETTA was initiated in combination with insulin glargine, the dose of insulin glargine was decreased by 20% in patients with an HbA1c ≥ 8.0 % to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia. See Table 9 for insulin dose titration algorithm.

N = The number of Intent-to-Treat subjects in each treatment group.

Antibodies were assessed in 90% of subjects in the 30-week, 24-week and 16-week studies of BYETTA. In the 30-week controlled trials of BYETTA add-on to metformin and/or sulfonylurea, antibodies were assessed at 2- to 6-week intervals. The mean antibody titer peaked at week 6 and was reduced by 55% by week 30. Three hundred and sixty patients (30%) had low titer antibodies (<825) to exenatide at 30 weeks. The level of glycemic control (HbA1c) in these patients was generally comparable to that observed in the 534 patients (56%) without antibody titers. An additional 59 patients (6%) had higher titer antibodies (>825) at 30 weeks. Of these patients, 32 (3% overall) had an attenuated glycemic response to BYETTA; the remaining 27 (3% overall) had a glycemic response comparable to that of patients without antibodies.

In the 16-week trial of BYETTA add-on to thiazolidinediones, with or without metformin, 36 patients (31%) had low titer antibodies to exenatide at 16 weeks. The level of glycemic control in these patients was generally comparable to that observed in the 89 patients (60%) without antibody titer. An additional 10 patients (9%) had higher titer antibodies at 16 weeks. Of these patients, 4 (4% overall) had an attenuated glycemic response to BYETTA; the remaining 6 (5% overall) had a glycemic response comparable to that of patients without antibodies.
In the 24-week trial of BYETTA used as monotherapy, 40 patients (28%) had low titers antibodies at 24 weeks. The level of glycemic control in these patients was generally comparable to that observed in the 101 patients (70%) without antibody titers. An additional 3 patients (2%) had higher titer antibodies at 24 weeks. Of these patients, 1 (1% overall) had an attenuated glycemic response to BYETTA, the remaining 2 (1% overall) had a glycemic response comparable to those patients without antibodies.

Antibodies to exenatide were not assessed in the 30-week trial of BYETTA used in combination with insulin glargine.

Two hundred and ten patients with antibodies to exenatide in the BYETTA clinical trials were treated for a period of cross-reactive antibodies to GLP-1 and/or glucagon. No treatment-emergent cross reactive antibodies were observed across the range of titers.

Other Adverse Reactions

Monotherapy

Adverse reactions (excluding hypoglycemia) for the 24-week placebo-controlled study of BYETTA (N = 155) when used as a monotherapy, with an incidence ≥2% and occurring more frequently in BYETTA-treated patients versus placebo-BYETTA-treated patients (N = 77): nausea (8% vs 0%), vomiting (4% vs 0%), and dyspepsia (3% vs 0%).

Adverse reactions reported in ≥1.0 to <2.0% of patients receiving BYETTA and reported more frequently than with placebo included decreased appetite, diarrhea, and dizziness. The most frequently reported adverse reaction associated with BYETTA, nausea, occurred in a dose-dependent fashion.

Two of the 155 patients treated with BYETTA withdrew due to adverse reactions of headache and nausea. No placebo-treated patients withdrew due to adverse reactions.

Combination Therapy

Add-on to metformin and/or sulfonylurea

Adverse reactions (excluding hypoglycemia) in the three 30-week controlled trials of BYETTA (N = 963) add-on to metformin and/or sulfonylurea, with an incidence ≥2% and occurring more frequently in BYETTA-treated patients versus placebo-treated patients (N = 483): nausea (44% vs 18%), vomiting (13% vs 4%), diarrhea (15% vs 6%), feeling jittery (9% vs 4%), constipation (5% vs 2%), dizziness (6% vs 5%), headache (6% vs 3%), dyspepsia (5% vs 2%), cellulitis (4% vs 2%), and gastrointestinal reflux disease (3% vs 1%).

Adverse reactions reported in ≥1.0 to <2.0% of patients receiving BYETTA and reported more frequently than with placebo included decreased appetite. Nausea was the most frequently reported adverse reaction and occurred in a dose-dependent fashion. With continued therapy, the frequency and severity decreased over time in most of the patients who initially experienced nausea. Patients in the long-term uncontrolled open-label extension studies at 52 weeks reported no new types of adverse reactions than those observed in the 30-week controlled trials.

The most common adverse reactions leading to withdrawal for BYETTA-treated patients were nausea (3%) and vomiting (1%). For placebo-treated patients, <1% withdrew due to nausea and none due to vomiting.

Add-on to thiazolidinediones with or without metformin

Adverse reactions (excluding hypoglycemia) for the 16-week placebo-controlled study of BYETTA (N = 211) add-on to a thiazolidinedione, with or without metformin, with an incidence ≥2% and occurring more frequently in BYETTA-treated patients versus placebo-treated patients (N = 112): nausea (40% vs 15%), vomiting (15% vs 4%), diarrhea (18% vs 8%), headache (14% vs 4%), constipation (10% vs 2%), dyspepsia (7% vs 2%), asthenia (5% vs 1%), abdominal distension (4% vs 1%), decreased appetite (3% vs 0%), flatulence (2% vs 1%), gastrointestinal reflux disease (2% vs 1%).

The most frequently reported adverse reactions leading to withdrawal for BYETTA-treated patients were nausea (6.1%) and vomiting (2.9%). No placebo-treated patients withdrew due to nausea or vomiting.

Post-Marketing Experience

The following additional adverse reactions have been reported during post-approval use of BYETTA. Because these events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.

Allergy/Hypersensitivity: injection-site reactions, generalized pruritus and/or urticaria, macular or papular rash, angioedema, anaphylactic reaction.

Drug Interactions: international normalized ratio (INR) increased with concomitant warfarin use sometimes associated with bleeding.

Gastrointestinal: nausea, vomiting, and/or diarrhea resulting in dehydration; abdominal distension, abdominal pain, eructation, constipation, flatulence, acute pancreatitis, hemorrhagic and necrotizing pancreatitis sometimes resulting in death.

Neurologic: dysgeusia; somnolence

Renal and Urinary Disorders: altered renal function, including increased serum creatinine, renal impairment, worsened chronic renal failure or acute renal failure (sometimes requiring hemodialysis), kidney transplant and kidney transplant dysfunction.

Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue Disorders: alopecia

USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

Pregnancy

Pregnancy Category C

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of BYETTA use in pregnant women. In animal studies, exenatide caused cleft palate, irregular skeletal ossification and an increased number of neonatal deaths. BYETTA should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Female mice given SC doses of 6, 68, or 760 mcg/kg/day beginning 2 weeks prior to and throughout mating until gestation day 7 had no adverse fetal effects. At the maximal dose, 760 mcg/kg/day, systemic exposures were up to 390 times the human exposure resulting from the maximum recommended dose of 20 mcg/day, based on AUC.

In developmental toxicity studies, pregnant animals received exenatide subcutaneously during organogenesis. Specifically, fetuses from pregnant rabbits given SC doses of 0, 2.2, 15.6, or 260 mcg/kg/day from gestation day 6 through 18 experienced irregular skeletal ossifications from exposures 12 times the human exposure resulting from the maximum recommended dose of 20 mcg/kg/day, based on AUC.

Lactating mice given SC doses of 6, 68, 460, or 760 mcg/kg/day from gestation day 6 through lactation day 20 (weaning), experienced an increased number of neonatal deaths. Deaths were observed on postpartum days 2-4 in dams given 6 mcg/kg/day, a systemic exposure 3 times the human exposure resulting from the maximum recommended dose of 20 mcg/kg/day, based on AUC.

Pregnancy Registry

Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. maintains a Pregnancy Registry to monitor pregnancy outcomes of women exposed to exenatide during pregnancy. Physicians are encouraged to register patients by calling 1-800-633-9081.

Nursing Mothers

It is not known whether exenatide is excreted inhuman milk. However, exenatide is present at low concentrations (less than or equal to 2.5% of the concentration in maternal plasma following subcutaneous dosing) in the milk of lactating mice. Many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for clinically significant adverse reactions in nursing infants from exenatide, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or discontinue the drug, taking into account these potential risks against the glycermic benefits to the lactating woman.

Caution should be exercised when BYETTA is administered to a nursing woman.

Pediatric Use

Safety and effectiveness of BYETTA have not been established in pediatric patients.

Geriatric Use

Population pharmacokinetic analysis of patients ranging from 22 to 73 years of age suggests that age does not influence the pharmacokinetic properties of exenatide. BYETTA was studied in 282 patients 65 years of age or older and in 16 patients 75 years of age or older. No differences in safety or effectiveness were observed between these patients and younger patients. Because elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, care should be taken in dose selection in the elderly based on renal function.

OVERDOSAGE

In a clinical study of BYETTA, three patients with type 2 diabetes each experienced a single overdose of 100 mcg SC (10 times the maximum recommended dose). Effects of the overdoses included severe nausea, severe vomiting, and rapidly declining blood glucose concentrations.

One of the three patients experienced severe hypoglycemia requiring parental glucose administration. The three patients recovered without complication. In the event of overdose, appropriate supportive treatment should be initiated according to the patient’s clinical signs and symptoms.

Manufactured for Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., San Diego, CA 92121

This product and its use are covered by US Patent Nos. 5,424,286, 6,858,576, 6,872,700, 6,902,744, 6,966,028, 7,297,761, 7,521,425, 7,741,269, and other patents pending.

1-800-888-1190
http://www.BYETTA.com
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RESEARCH BRIEFS

The following studies will be published in Endocrine Society journals. Before print, they are edited and posted online in each journal's Early Release section. You can access the journals via www.endo-society.org.

Endocrinology


- Estrogen receptor α plays a role in hearing-related behavior. Charitidi K, Meltser I, Canlon B. Estriol treatment and hormonal fluctuations during the estrous cycle modulate the expression of estrogen receptors in the auditory system and the prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle response.


- Cdc80-deficient mice fed high-fat diets have metabolic abnormalities similar to prediabetes: hyperglycemia, glucose intolerance, and impaired insulin secretion. Tremblay F, Huard C, Dow J, et al. Loss of coiled-coil domain containing 80 negatively modulates glucose homeostasis in diet-induced obese mice.

Hormones and Cancer


The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism


- Mid-life weight gain leads to changes in sex steroids rather than the converse. Wildman RP, Tepper PG, Crawford S, et al. Do changes in sex steroid hormones precede or follow increases in body weight during the menopause transition? Results from The Study of Women’s Health Across the Nation.


- Over the last 15 years, BRAFV600E mutations have been seen more often than RET/PTC rearrangements in PTC patients. Romei C, Fugazzola L, Puxeddu E, et al. Modifications in the papillary thyroid cancer gene profile over the last 15 years.

Molecular Endocrinology

- E2 promotes the secretion of stromal cell-derived enolase 1, which associates with PCa cells and activates plasminogen, thereby promoting extracellular matrix remodeling and cancer cell migration. Yu L, Shi J, Chen S, et al. Estrogen promotes prostate cancer cell migration via paracrine release of ENO1 from stromal cells.

- At term, low levels of PTB-associated splicing factor contribute to increased myometrial contraction-associated protein gene expression and to labor onset by removing the repressive function of progesterone on the myometrium.

- Expression and function of myometrial PSF suggest a role in progesterone withdrawal and the initiation of labour.


AUGUST 2012 issue of Endocrine Reviews

Dalamaga M, Diakopoulos KN, Mantzoros CS. The role of adiponectin in cancer: A review of current evidence.

Böhm M, Grässel S. Role of proopiomelanocortin-derived peptides and their receptors in the osteoarticular system. From basic to translational research.

Brooks CL. Molecular mechanisms of prolactin and its receptor.


Stefater MA, Wilson-Peréz HE, Chambers AP, Sandoval DA, Seeley RJ. All bariatric surgeries are not created equal: Insights from mechanistic comparisons.
Endocrinologists are not the only ones interested in ENDO 2012—so are the media. This year’s annual meeting yielded 2,550 news pick-ups from numerous television, online, and print outlets, including CNN, the Los Angeles Times, Associated Press, WebMD, MedPage Today, The Atlantic, and Science News. Stories from the conference appeared worldwide in such nations as Canada, England, Cambodia, Malaysia, India, United Arab Emirates, and North Korea.

Twenty-one journalists on-site and an equal number online attended six ENDO 2012 press conferences organized by Aaron Lohr, director of Media Relations at The Endocrine Society. The most popular story was a promising reversible testosterone gel contraceptive for men. Among the other news disseminated were reports on vitamin D deficiency linked to weight gain in postmenopausal women, weight loss by eating dessert at breakfast time, and secondhand smoke increasing the risk of type 2 diabetes and obesity.

Andrea C. Gore, Ph.D., the new Editor-in-Chief of Endocrinology, finalized her selection of editors who will be working with her on the journal. The Publications Core Committee subsequently approved Gore’s choices. Spanning the world and various disciplines, the editors include Adrian V. Lee, Ph.D., Baylor College of Medicine, Houston; Anthony N. Hollenberg, M.D., Harvard Medical School, Boston; Daniel D. Bikle, M.D., Ph.D., University of California, San Francisco; Emilie Rissman, Ph.D., University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville; Gail S. Prins, Ph.D., University of Illinois at Chicago; Hugh S. Taylor, M.D., Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut; Manuel Tena-Sempere, M.D., Ph.D., University of Cordoba, Spain; Patricia Brubaker, Ph.D., University of Toronto, Canada; and Richard J. Auchus, M.D., University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas.

Since its publication in 2006, The Endocrine Society’s best-selling Endocrine Self-Assessment Program (ESAP™) has served as an indispensable tool to countless physicians seeking initial certification or recertification in endocrinology, as well as clinicians seeking a self-assessment and a broad review of endocrinology. The newest edition, ESAP 2012™, provides 160 multiple-choice case-based questions and an extensive discussion of each answer. Written by expert faculty, it is available in an online interactive module and printed book. ESAP 2012™ delivers powerful guidance to help clinicians looking to learn more about their knowledge and skills, as well as the latest in endocrinology, diabetes, and metabolism. Society members can purchase ESAP 2012™ at a special member discounted price. For more information, visit www.endoselfassessment.org.

In Memoriam

Sander Paul Klein, M.D.
West Bloomfield, Michigan
1918–2012

Henry A. Lardy, Ph.D.
Madison, Wisconsin
1917–2012
Deborah Guadalupe Duran, Ph.D., became chief of the Office of Strategic Planning, Legislation, and Science Policy at the National Institutes of Health’s National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD). She previously served as deputy director of the National Cancer Institute’s Center to Reduce Cancer Health Disparities.

Zhenqui Liu, M.D., was appointed chief of the Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism at the University of Virginia, Charlottesville. Dr. Liu is a professor of medicine at UVA and currently serves as member of the Trainee and Career Development Core Committee and co-chair of the International Endocrine Scholars Program of The Endocrine Society.

David M. Murray, Ph.D., has been appointed associate director for disease prevention and director of the Office of Disease Prevention at the National Institutes of Health (NIH). He comes to NIH from Ohio State University, where he is chair and professor of the Division of Epidemiology, College of Public Health.

* Member of The Endocrine Society.

Share Your News
If you or others you know change jobs, receive a promotion, are granted an award, or otherwise make endocrinology-related career news, please don’t hesitate to let us know at endocrinenews@endo-society.org.

Endocrine News Online has a fresh, new look each month to bring you even more content. Our expanded Web site is updated frequently and carries additional information you don’t want to miss.

e-Edition:
An interactive PDF with pages you can turn, carrying links to videos, audios, and more.

Online Exclusives:
Summaries of late-breaking research.
The Hormone Health Network News:
Links to the latest patient information about endocrine disorders.

Go to www.endo-society.org/endo_news or scan this QR Code with your smartphone’s QR Reader to see the many new online features, headlines, news briefs, interactive text, photos, and links, among other enhancements.
If you are interested in submitting classified advertising to Endocrine News, please contact Christine Whorton at endocareers@endo-society.org or 800-361-3906.

**Endocrinologist Needed in Growing Philadelphia Suburb**

Gateway Medical Endocrinology Associates is seeking a BC/BE Endocrinologist to join well-established group. Join three endocrinologists, one diabetologist, two nurse practitioners, and a diabetes educator. This respected practice is located in West Chester Pennsylvania, an outstanding place to live and work. Allscripts EMR. Competitive Salary! Email CV to mdyson@gatewaydoctors.com.

**Academic Endocrinologist**

Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center and SUNY Upstate Medical University (UMU), Syracuse, New York, has an opening for a full-time faculty position in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism. Applicants must be board certified in Internal Medicine and BC/BE in Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism. The successful candidate must have expertise in patient care and teaching in Endocrinology, as well as experience in clinical research related to Diabetes. Applicants must possess a full and unrestricted license in any state and must qualify for a corresponding academic appointment within the Department of Medicine at SUNY UMU. Interested candidates should fax a cover letter referencing job number 670-05E and a current CV to: 315- 425-2447, attention Linda Zavalauskas, Human Resources/05, VA Medical Center, 800 Irving Avenue, Syracuse, New York 13210, or email Linda.Zavalauskas@va.gov. Information on Upstate New York VISN 2 VA Healthcare Network can be found at www.syracuse.va.gov. Position is subject to random drug testing. Equal Opportunity Employer.

**Physician Investigator**

Saint Louis University, a catholic, Jesuit institution dedicated to student learning, research, health care, and service is seeking a Physician Investigator for a faculty position in the Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, beginning July 1, 2013. The position can also be for an Assistant or Associate Professor. An M.D. degree and board eligibility in endocrinology are necessary. The physician will be responsible for developing the endocrinology division. Primary teaching duties will include medical students, residents, fellows and other health professionals. Send CV and three letters of recommendation to: John E. Morley, M.D., Director of Endocrinology, Saint Louis University, School of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology; 1402 South Grand Boulevard, Room M420; St. Louis, Missouri 63104. Review of applications begins immediately and continues until the position is filled. Saint Louis University is an Affirmative Action, Equal Opportunity Employer and encourages nominations and applications of women and minorities.

**Ohio Endocrinologist**

BC/BE Endo to join an established Endo in Toledo, Ohio. 100 percent Endo. Onsite US, Details at AspirusProviderOpps.org. Contact Dawn Decker at dawn.decker@aspirus.org or 800.792.8728.


Board Certified/Board Eligible Endocrinologist

There’s a simple reason you chose a career in medicine. We invite you to practice it.

We welcome your enthusiasm for compassionate medicine as you change lives with some of the most inspiring people you will ever meet. Join a team of two Endocrinologists and 2 Nurse Practitioners who practice 100% consultative endocrinology and enjoy excellent physician support, flexible scheduling, and 1:4/5 call with Hospitalist support. We offer an above average compensation package that includes income guarantee, production bonuses, and relocation/residency stipend/loan repayment options. Your practice and your family will flourish in Wausau, WI; a safe and friendly community that offers a low cost of living.

In return, we promise to treat you with the same dignity and respect you give to your patients. Respecting your work/life balance is a big part of the Aspirus culture. We will surround you with a highly qualified nursing and support staff, an extensive network of outstanding specialists, and a medical culture that shares an unyielding commitment to excellence.

A practice model like this could only happen in a place like this. It’s a place where kayakers and theatergoers live in harmony. A place of adventure through all four seasons. A place good natures and great schools call home.

Details at AspirusProviderOpps.org. Contact Dawn Decker at dawn.decker@aspirus.org or 800.792.8728.
ENDOCRINOLOGIST OPPORTUNITY AT THE BEACH

Located in the scenic coastal area where the Atlantic Ocean meets the Delaware Bay, Beebe Medical Center is a progressive, 210-bed, not-for-profit community hospital that serves the growing population of southern Delaware.

■ One of 100 Best Places to Work in Healthcare (Becker's ASC Review/Becker's Hospital Review)
■ Southern Delaware Beaches Ranked Among Top 10 Beaches/Boardwalks (Travel and Leisure, National Geographic, American Profiles Magazine)
■ 2010–2012 HealthGrades® Distinguished Hospital Award For Clinical Excellence™

Visit www.beebemed.org for physician opportunities!

Beebe Medical Center 1424 Savannah Road | Lewes, DE 19958 | 302-645-3644
www.beebemed.org | E-mail introductory cover letter and CV to Marilyn Hill, Director of Physician Services, mhill@bbmc.org.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL MEDICINE
DIVISION OF ENDOCRINOLOGY, DIABETES & METABOLISM
HEALTH SCIENCES CLINICAL PROFESSOR OR CLINICAL X

The Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism at the University of California, Davis, School of Medicine, is currently recruiting for two full-time faculty positions in the Health Sciences Clinical Professor or Clinical X series at the rank of Assistant/Associate Professor. Appointees to the Clinical X series are required to teach, engage in creative activity that can include bench, translational or clinical research, participate in university and public service and provide direct patient care. Appointees to the Health Sciences Clinical series are required to teach, participate in university service and provide direct patient care.

Applicants must have MD or MD, PhD degree(s), be Board Certified/Eligible in Endocrinology, and be eligible for medical licensure in the State of California. Duties include outpatient care in diabetes and general endocrinology, inpatient consultation service, and teaching and supervision of medical students, residents, and fellows.

For full consideration, applications must be received by August 31, 2012. However the position will remain open until filled through January 31, 2013. Applicants should send cover letter, curriculum vitae, and a list of five references (Clinical X applicants should send selected/recent publications) to: Sidika E. Karakas, MD c/o Connie Peters University of California, Davis Health System Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism 4150 V Street, Suite G400 Sacramento, CA 95817

The University of California, Davis is an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer with a strong institutional commitment to the achievement of diversity among its faculty, staff, and students.

CLASSIFIEDS CONT.

FNA, CGMs, HbA1c. Competitive salary with productivity incentive and full benefits. Buy-in in two years. No J-1. Email CV to mmoosa61@gmail.com.

Medical Writer and Editor

Clinical Endocrinologist looking for Ph.D. students or other interested individuals who are literature-search-savvy in the areas of obesity, diabetes, lipids, or hypertension. Tasks involve finding citations for specific assertions made in transcriptions prepared for publications. Writing editor assistant(s) in the same areas listed also desired. No geographical limitations. Generous pay. Would be happy to accommodate internship/externship credit if wished. Contact Brian Fertig, M.D, fertigkanj@yahoo.com; telephone 732-562-0027; fax 732-562-0041.

CLINICAL X

■ 2010–2012 HealthGrades® Distinguished Hospital Award For Clinical Excellence™

Visit www.beebemed.org for physician opportunities!

Beebe Medical Center 1424 Savannah Road | Lewes, DE 19958 | 302-645-3644
www.beebemed.org | E-mail introductory cover letter and CV to Marilyn Hill, Director of Physician Services, mhill@bbmc.org.
The Department of Medicine at McGill University invites applications for the position of Director of the McGill University Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism and Director of the McGill University Health Centre Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism. The Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism is a highly productive unit, with a cadre of outstanding basic scientific researchers, clinicians and teachers.

Applicants should have strong leadership qualities and a proven track record in basic or clinical research. Demonstrated success in promoting translational research would be considered an asset. Applicants should normally be at the Associate or Full Professor level. This is a tenure-track position.

Applicants will be physicians who have completed subspecialty training in endocrinology and metabolism, be eligible for licensure in Quebec and certification by the Collège des médecins du Québec and have an aptitude for the teaching of medical students, residents and clinical fellows in endocrinology and metabolism.

Candidates would benefit from a working knowledge of French as well as English.

Please submit a CV and covering letter, along with a list of publications and current research interests and the name and coordinates of three references within 30 days from the date of publication to:

Dr. James Martin, Interim Chair of Medicine
Department of Medicine, Room A3.09
Royal Victoria Hospital
687 Pine Avenue West
Montreal, Quebec
H3A 1A1

Fax: (514) 843-8182
E-Mail: james.martin@mcgill.ca

McGill University is committed to equity in employment and diversity. It welcomes applications from Aboriginal persons, persons with disabilities, ethnic minorities, persons of minority sexual orientation or gender identity, visible minorities, women, and others who may contribute to diversification. All qualified applicants are encouraged to apply; however, Canadians and permanent residents will be given priority.
Nobel Prize-Winning Endocrinologists

By Jacqueline Ruttimann, Ph.D.

In the 1920s, when Rita Levi-Montalcini was a young girl in Italy, she aspired to become a scientist, but she had two strikes against her: She is a woman and she is Jewish. Her father believed that a professional career “would interfere with the duties of a wife and mother.” Later, in 1938, Mussolini’s Manifesto of Race banned Jews from academic and professional careers.

Bedside Laboratory

By the time Levi-Montalcini turned 20, she realized that she “could not possibly adjust to a feminine role” as defined by her father. She appealed to him, finished high school, entered the University of Turin’s Medical School, and graduated summa cum laude in 1936. To circumvent the racist laws, she set up a laboratory in her bedroom, where she studied chick embryo limb formation. Times were so tough that after her experiments, she often ate the eggs.

Heavy Allied bombing in 1941 forced her and her family to leave Turin for a country cottage, where she rebuilt her laboratory. She eventually had to flee to Florence and live underground until the end of World War II. Levi-Montalcini resumed her research at Washington University in St. Louis. Working jointly with biochemist Stanley Cohen, in 1951, she isolated nerve growth factor (NGF), a neuropeptide important in neurodegenerative diseases, cardiovascular disease, obesity, and type 2 diabetes. She shared the Nobel Prize with Cohen in 1986 for their groundbreaking discovery.

The discovery, in fact, might be personally benefitting Levi-Montalcini. Taking daily eye drop solutions of NGF, she is the oldest living Nobel Laureate at 103 years of age.

Levi-Montalcini is just one of many researchers who have worked against the odds and achieved astounding success in the field of endocrinology. Leonard Wartofsky, editor-in-chief of *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, highlighted a dozen such Nobel Laureates at an ENDO 2012 presentation.

From Secretary to Scholar

Among those eminent scholars is American physicist Rosalyn Sussman Yalow, the first female and first Nobel Laureate to become President of The Endocrine Society (1978–1979). Born to parents who never finished high school, Yalow graduated magna cum laude from Hunter College as the school’s first female physics major. She, too, faced anti-semitism and gender discrimination. In her autobiography she recalled how she had to access graduate courses “via the back door,” by taking a job as a typist and stenographer.

Eventually as men vacated universities to enlist in the armed forces during WWII, she landed a position as a teaching assistant in the physics department at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, where she earned her doctorate in nuclear physics in 1945. Within a few years, Yalow began working the Bronx Veterans Administration Hospital in New York City, transforming a janitor’s closet into a functioning lab. Here
she collaborated with physician Solomon Berson in developing the radioimmunoassay technique for measuring insulin and other hormones—work that revolutionized medical research and won Yalow the 1977 Nobel Prize, along with physician and physiologist Roger Guillemin and endocrinologist Andrew Schally, for their work on neuropeptide hormones.

The “tough guy” in this illustrious group of Laureates, according to Wartofsky, was surgeon Frederick Banting. Despite poor vision, Banting served in the Canadian Army Medical Corps in both world wars. He won the Military Cross in 1919 for dressing the wounds of other soldiers for nearly 17 hours despite suffering a severed artery in his own right arm. While serving as a liaison officer between the British and North American medical services during WWII, he was killed in an airplane crash on a military mission in 1941.

**Of Dogs and Men**

In between the wars, Banting worked with medical scientist Charles Best, physician and physiologist John J.R. Macleod, and biochemist James Bertram Collip, to extract insulin from intact islets of Langerhans in dogs. They purified the insulin and, in January 1922, injected it into Leonard Thompson, a 14-year-old boy with diabetes. Leonard survived and lived for many more years. As we know, the discovery was another medical miracle that would save millions of lives. Banting and Macleod received the Nobel Prize in 1923. They then split the award money with Best and Collip.

“There are many achievements in endocrinology recognized by the Nobel Prize,” Wartofsky said. “Who knows what future Laureate attended ENDO 2012?”

---

Ruttimann is the associate editor of Endocrine News.

---

**ESAP™ 2012**

**The Endocrine Society’s Premier Self-Assessment Resource**

Based on the ABIM blueprint for certification, **ESAP 2012** is the perfect tool for physicians seeking certification or recertification, and clinicians simply wanting a self-assessment and a broad review of endocrinology.

**ESAP 2012** provides 160 online interactive case-based questions and printed book. Each question features extensive discussion of correct and incorrect answers. Written by leaders in the field, **ESAP 2012** is designed to ensure you have the latest information from the experts.

To register or purchase **ESAP 2012**, visit: [www.endocrineself-assessment.org](http://www.endocrineself-assessment.org), to order by phone call 1.888.363.6762 or 301.941.0210 Monday–Friday, 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m. ET.

List Price: $350  Member Price: $250  Member Fellow: $199
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Victoza® (liraglutide rDNA origin) injection
Rx Only

GENERAL PHARMACOLOGY:
This medication is a long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonist.

INDICATIONS AND USAGE:
VICTOZA® is indicated in adults as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

CONTRAINDICATIONS:
VICTOZA® is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) syndromes (e.g., MEN 2), medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) or in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2).

REVIEWS OF HUMAN EXPERIENCE:
VICTOZA® has not been found to be directly nephrotoxic in animal studies or clinical trials. There have been postmarketing reports of acute renal failure and worsening of chronic renal failure, which may sometimes require hemodialysis in VICTOZA-treated patients (see Adverse Reactions). Some of these events were reported in patients without known underlying renal disease. A majority of the reported events occurred in patients who had experienced nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or dehydration (see Adverse Reactions). Some of the reported events occurred in patients receiving one or more medications known to affect renal function or hydration status. Altered renal function has been reversed in many of the reported cases with supportive treatment and discontinuation of potentially causative agents, including VICTOZA® use. Caution should be exercised when initiating or escalating doses of VICTOZA® in patients with renal impairment.

ADVERSE REACTIONS:
Clinical Trials Experience: Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice. The safety of VICTOZA® was evaluated in a 52-week monotherapy trial and in five 26-week, add-on to metformin therapy trials, placebo-controlled trials, and open-label trials. Table 1 shows the adverse events reported in placebo-controlled trials in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The majority of adverse events occurred in patients receiving VICTOZA® 1.2 mg given once daily,Victoza® 1.8 mg daily, or glimepride 8 mg daily. In the add-on to metformin trial, patients were treated with VICTOZA® 0.6 mg, VICTOZA® 1.2 mg, VICTOZA® 1.8 mg, placebo, or glimepiride 4 mg. In the five 26-week, add-on to metformin therapy trials, patients were treated with VICTOZA® 1.2 mg, VICTOZA® 1.8 mg, or placebo. In the five 26-week, placebo-controlled trials, patients were treated with placebo, Metformin N = 724

Table 1: Adverse events reported in ≥5% of VICTOZA®-treated patients or ≥5% of glimepiride-treated patients: 52-week monotherapy trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event Term</th>
<th>VICTOZA® N = 497</th>
<th>Glimepiride N = 498</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Respiratory Tract Infection</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary Tract Infection</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back Pain</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Adverse events reported in ≥5% of VICTOZA®-treated patients and occurring more frequently with VICTOZA® compared to placebo: 26-week combination therapy trials

Add-on to Metformin Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event Term</th>
<th>VICTOZA® + Metformin N = 724</th>
<th>Placebo + Metformin N = 121</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add-on to Glimepride Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event Term</th>
<th>VICTOZA® + Glimepride N = 695</th>
<th>Placebo + Glimepride N = 114</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WARNING: RISK OF THYROID C-CELL TUMORS:
Liraglutide causes dose-dependent and treatment-duration-dependent thyroid C-cell tumors in animal studies and may be associated with an increased risk of medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) in patients with multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) syndromes. In clinical trials, VICTOZA® is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MEN 2, MTC, or in patients with MEN 2 syndrome (e.g., MEN 2, medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), or in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2). In nonclinical studies, VICTOZA® is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MEN 2, MTC, or in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2). Based on the findings in nonclinical studies, the highest risk of developing thyroid C-cell tumors was observed in patients who received the highest dose of liraglutide for the longest duration. This information may be used as the human tumor risk, whether monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound will mitigate the risk of thyroid C-cell tumors. Patients should be counseled regarding the risk and symptoms of thyroid tumors (see Adverse Reactions).
it would not be effective in these settings. The concurrent use of Victoza® and insulin has not been studied sufficiently in patients with a history of pancreatitis to recommend use. Because clinical trials are conducted under circumstances that are generally different from those prevailing in everyday medical practice, efficacy cannot be directly inferred from the results of these trials. Important Limitations of Use: The following adverse reactions have been reported: Hypoglycemia: In a pooled analysis of clinical trials, the incidence rate (per 1,000 patient-years) for malignant neoplasms (based on investigator-reported events, medical history, pathology reports, and surgical reports from both blinded and open-label study periods) was 10.9 for Victoza®, 6.3 for placebo, and 7.2 for active comparator. After excluding papillary thyroid carcinoma events (see Adverse Reactions), no particular cancer cell type predominated. Seven malignant neoplasms were reported beyond 1 year of exposure to study medication, six events among Victoza®-treated patients (4 colon, 1 prostate and 1 non-Hodgkin’s), no events among placebo-treated and active-control-treated patients. All of these cases were diagnosed after thyroidectomy, which was prompted by abnormal results on routine, protocol-specified measurements and/or serum calcitonin concentrations ≥1000 ng/L suggesting pre-existing disease. All of these cases were diagnosed after thyroidectomy, which was prompted by abnormal results on routine, protocol-specified measurements and/or serum calcitonin concentrations >1000 ng/L, calcitonin elevations to >20 ng/L occurred in 0.7% of Victoza®-treated patients, 0.3% of placebo-treated patients, 0.3% of active-control-treated patients, and 0.6% of native GLP-1–treated patients. Among patients with pre-treatment serum calcitonin values >50 ng/L, calcitonin elevations to >20 ng/L occurred in 2.0% of Victoza®-treated patients, 0.3% of placebo-treated patients, 0.3% of active-control-treated patients, and 0.8% of native GLP-1–treated patients. Among patients with pre-treatment serum calcitonin values >50 ng/L, calcitonin elevations to >20 ng/L occurred in 2.0% of Victoza®-treated patients, 0.3% of placebo-treated patients, 0.3% of active-control-treated patients, and 0.8% of native GLP-1–treated patients.

Table 3: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events in 26 Week Open-Label Trial versus Exenatide (Adverse events with frequency ≥5% and occurring more frequently with Victoza® compared to exenatide are listed).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Term</th>
<th>Victoza® (N = 497)</th>
<th>Exenatide (N = 235)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gastrointestinal adverse events: In the five clinical trials of 26 weeks duration or longer, gastrointestinal adverse events were reported in 41% of Victoza®-treated patients and were dose-related. Gastrointestinal adverse events occurring ≥17% in at least 1 comparator-treated patients. Events that occurred more commonly among Victoza®-treated patients included nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, dyspepsia and constipation. In a 26-week study of Victoza® versus exenatide, both in combination with metformin and/or sulfonylurea overall gastrointestinal adverse event incidence rates, including nausea, were similar in patients treated with Victoza® and exenatide. In the five clinical trials of 26 weeks duration or longer, the percentage of patients who reported nausea declined over time. Approximately 13% of Victoza®-treated patients, and 2% of comparator-treated patients reported nausea during the first 2 weeks of treatment. In a 26 week study of Victoza® versus exenatide, both in combination with metformin and/or sulfonylurea, the proportion of patients with nausea also declined over time. Immunochemistry: Consistent with the potentially immunogenic properties of protein and peptide pharmaceuticals, patients treated with Victoza® may develop anti-liraglutide antibodies. Approximately 50-70% of Victoza®-treated patients in the five clinical trials of 26 weeks duration or longer were the percentage of anti-liraglutide antibodies at the end of treatment. Low titers (concentrations not requiring dilution of serum) of anti-liraglutide antibodies were detected in 6.6% of these Victoza®-treated patients. Sampling was not performed uniformly across all patients in clinical trials, and this may have resulted in an underestimate of the actual percentage of patients who developed antibodies. Cross-reacting anti-liraglutide antibodies to native glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) occurred in 6.9% of the Victoza®-treated patients in the 52-week monotherapy trial and in 4.8% of the Victoza®-treated patients in the 26-week add-on combination therapy trials. These cross-reacting antibodies were not neutralizing in effect against native GLP-1, and thus the potential for clinically significant neutralization of native GLP-1 was not assessed. Antibodies that had a neutralizing effect on liraglutide in an in vitro assay occurred in 2.5% of the Victoza®-treated patients in the 52-week monotherapy trial and in 0.9% of the Victoza®-treated patients in the 26-week add-on combination therapy trials. Victoza®-treated patients who developed anti-liraglutide antibodies, the most common category of adverse events was that of infections, which occurred among 45% of these patients compared to 39%, 34% and 33% of antibody-negative Victoza®-treated, placebo-treated and active-control-treated patients, respectively. The specific infections which occurred with greater frequency among Victoza®-treated antibody-positive patients were primarily nosocomial upper respiratory tract infections, which occurred among 11%, 3% and 2% of antibody-negative Victoza®-treated antibody-positive patients, and among 7%, 7% and 5% of antibody-negative Metformin–treated, placebo-treated and active-control-treated patients, respectively. Antibody formation was not associated with reduced efficacy of Victoza® when comparing mean HbA1c of all antibody-positive and all antibody-negative patients. However, the 3 patients with the highest titers of anti-liraglutide antibodies had no reduction in HbA1c, with Victoza® treatment. In clinical trials of Victoza®, events from a composite of adverse events potentially related to immunogenicity (e.g. urticaria, angioedema) occurred among 0.8% of Victoza®-treated patients and among 0.4% of comparator-treated patients. Urticaria occurred for approximately one-half of the events in this composite for Victoza®-treated patients. Patients who developed anti-liraglutide antibodies were not more likely to develop events from the immunogenicity events category compared to those who did not develop anti-liraglutide antibodies. Injection site reactions: Injection site reactions (e.g., injection site rash, erythema) were reported in approximately 2% of Victoza®-treated patients in the five clinical trials of at least 26 weeks duration. Less than 0.2% of patients had severe injection site reactions. Papillary thyroid carcinoma: In clinical trials of Victoza®, there were 6 reported cases of papillary thyroid carcinoma in patients treated with Victoza® and 1 case in a comparator-treated patient (1.5 vs. 0.6 cases per 1,000 patient-years). Mean of these papillary thyroid carcinomas was <1 cm in greatest diameter and were well-differentiated surgical pathology specimens after thyroidectomy prompted by findings on protocol-specified screening with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound. Hypoglycemia: In the clinical trials of at least 26 weeks duration, hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person for treatment occurred in 7 Victoza®-treated patients (2.6 cases per 100 patient-years) and in two comparator-treated patients. Six of these 7 patients were also treated with a sulfonylurea. One other patient was taking Victoza® in combination with metformin but had another likely explanation for the hypoglycemia (this event occurred during hospitalization and after insulin infusion) (Table 4). Two additional cases of hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person for treatment have subsequently been reported in patients who were not taking a concomitant sulfonylurea. Both patients were receiving Victoza®, one as monotherapy and the other in combination with metformin. Both patients had another likely explanation for the hypoglycemia (one received insulin during a frequently-sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test in a setting with the other had intracranial hypotension unprovoked).
The concurrent use of Victoza® and insulin has not been studied. Ketoacidosis, as it would not be effective in these settings.

In clinical trials of Victoza®, there were more cases of pancreatitis with glycemic control on diet and exercise.

Victoza® is not a substitute for insulin. Victoza® should not be used in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

Victoza® is not recommended as first-line therapy for patients who have inadequate glycemic control on diet and exercise.

Important safety information

Liraglutide causes dose-dependent and treatment-duration-dependent thyroid C-cell tumors at clinically relevant exposures in both genders of rats and mice. It is unknown whether Victoza® causes thyroid C-cell tumors, including medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), in humans, as human relevance could not be ruled out by clinical or nonclinical studies. Victoza® is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MTC and in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2). Based on the findings in rodents, monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound was performed during clinical trials, but this may have increased the number of unnecessary thyroid surgeries. It is unknown whether monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound will mitigate human risk of thyroid C-cell tumors.

Patients should be counseled regarding the risk and symptoms of thyroid tumors.

Indications and usage

Victoza® is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Because of the uncertain relevance of the rodent thyroid C-cell tumor findings to humans, prescribe Victoza® only to patients for whom the potential benefits are considered to outweigh the potential risk. Victoza® is not recommended as first-line therapy for patients who have inadequate glycemic control on diet and exercise.

In clinical trials of Victoza®, there were more cases of pancreatitis with Victoza® than with comparators. Victoza® has not been studied sufficiently in patients with a history of pancreatitis to determine whether these patients are at increased risk for pancreatitis while using Victoza®. Use with caution in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

Victoza® is not a substitute for insulin. Victoza® should not be used in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus or for the treatment of diabetic ketoacidosis, as it would not be effective in these settings.

The concurrent use of Victoza® and insulin has not been studied.

Important safety information

Liraglutide causes dose-dependent and treatment-duration-dependent thyroid C-cell tumors at clinically relevant exposures in both genders of rats and mice. It is unknown whether Victoza® causes thyroid C-cell tumors, including medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), in humans, as human relevance could not be ruled out by clinical or nonclinical studies. Victoza® is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MTC and in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2). Based on the findings in rodents, monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound was performed during clinical trials, but this may have increased the number of unnecessary thyroid surgeries. It is unknown whether monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound will mitigate human risk of thyroid C-cell tumors.

Patients should be counseled regarding the risk and symptoms of thyroid tumors.

Indications and usage

Victoza® is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Because of the uncertain relevance of the rodent thyroid C-cell tumor findings to humans, prescribe Victoza® only to patients for whom the potential benefits are considered to outweigh the potential risk. Victoza® is not recommended as first-line therapy for patients who have inadequate glycemic control on diet and exercise.

In clinical trials of Victoza®, there were more cases of pancreatitis with Victoza® than with comparators. Victoza® has not been studied sufficiently in patients with a history of pancreatitis to determine whether these patients are at increased risk for pancreatitis while using Victoza®. Use with caution in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

Victoza® is not a substitute for insulin. Victoza® should not be used in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

Victoza® is not recommended as first-line therapy for patients who have inadequate glycemic control on diet and exercise.

Important safety information

Liraglutide causes dose-dependent and treatment-duration-dependent thyroid C-cell tumors at clinically relevant exposures in both genders of rats and mice. It is unknown whether Victoza® causes thyroid C-cell tumors, including medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), in humans, as human relevance could not be ruled out by clinical or nonclinical studies. Victoza® is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MTC and in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2). Based on the findings in rodents, monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound was performed during clinical trials, but this may have increased the number of unnecessary thyroid surgeries. It is unknown whether monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound will mitigate human risk of thyroid C-cell tumors.

Patients should be counseled regarding the risk and symptoms of thyroid tumors.